

NYSRC Installed Capacity Subcommittee

Conference Call #19

June 13, 2005

1:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.

Teleconference Minutes

Attendees

Members/Alternates Present:

Mr. Curt Dahl (KeySpan/LIPA), Chairman
Mr. Bart Franey (National Grid)
Mr. Steve Jeremko (NYSEG)
Mr. Steve Whalen (NYSEG)
Mr. Rich Wright (Central Hudson)
Mr. King Look (Con Edison), Secretary

Advisers/Non-member Participants Present:

Mr. Al Adamson (Consultant)
Mr. Greg Drake (NYISO)
Mr. Frank Vitale (Consultant) – Limited Participation

Guests Present:

Mr. Glenn Haringa (GE)
Mr. Gary Jordan (GE)
Mr. Madison Milhous (KeySpan Ravenswood)

1. Review of 6/10/05 Executive Committee (EC) Meeting

The EC approved the unified IRM methodology at the 6/10/05 EC meeting. The EC was uncertain on the anchoring options, i.e., option 1 (anchored segment) and option 2 (minimum LCR anchored point). NYSEG suggested a third option for ICS consideration, which Steve Jeremko sent to ICS just prior to today's ICS conference call. Curt Dahl asked Steve to present anchoring option 3 (free-flowing equivalent IRM and supplemental IRM) at the next ICS meeting on June 29. Curt would like to condense the pros and cons of all three anchoring options to a single page, for discussion at the July 8th or August 12th EC meeting.

Al Adamson suggested that the NYSRC counsel (Paul Gioia) should be asked to review the anchoring options for compliance with tariff. A comment was made that NYSEG's proposed option 3 appears to be creating a new product.

Curt Dahl informed ICS that the EC made it clear that ICS has an IRM study to do, and therefore the 2006-2007 IRM Study needs to be given proper priority to ensure its timely completion.

2. Complete Agenda Items from June 1, 2005 ICS Meeting

2.1. Resource Capacity Availability

- At the 6/10/05 EC meeting, George Loehr expressed RCMS' concern of how the NYISO's outage data collection may have contributed to the need for the 711 MW capacity reduction in the 2005 IRM Study. This data collection issue will be addressed in the NYISO's update of the capacity reduction, which Greg Drake is working towards a draft for review at the next ICS meeting on June 29.
- The update of the capacity reduction is an NYISO report, i.e., it should be reviewed within the NYISO before release to the NYSRC. It was suggested that the NYISO ICAP Working Group should be included in the review process.

2.2. New Generating Units and Retirements

- ICS reviewed the new units to be included in the 2006-2007 IRM Study, which were a repeat from what were discussed at the 6/1/05 ICS meeting: the NYPA Poletti unit (500 MW, January 2006 in-service date), SCS Astoria (500 MW, April 2006 in-service date), the additional 32 MW from Pinelawn that is not available for summer 2005 but will be in service in fall 2005, and Flat Rock (120 wind turbines, each rated 1.65 MW, December 2005 in-service date).
- The retirements to be included in the 2006-2007 IRM Study are Huntley units 63 and 64.

2.3. Outside World Representation

- The New England 5-zone model (noting one of the zones being southwest Connecticut) resulted in no change to the 2005 Base IRM of 17.6%. However, when the New England 5-zone model was used with the 2006 forecast, the IRM increased from 17.6% to 18.1%.
- The PJM 3-zone model resulted in a decrease to the 2005 Base IRM from 17.6% to 17.4%. However, when the PJM 3-zone model was used with the 2006 forecast, the IRM increased from 17.6% to 17.9%.
- The HQ and Ontario models are outdated single area models, but their loads have been updated. The HQ model could be updated to 2 or 3 zones and the Ontario model could be updated to 10 zones. Given the extensive time required to update HQ and Ontario to multi-area models and their relatively insignificant impact to NYCA (i.e., relative to the contributions from New England and PJM), ICS reached consensus to use the current HQ and Ontario single area models with updated loads for use in the 2006-2007 IRM Study.

- Curt Dahl asked Greg Drake to run a case using both the updated New England model and the updated PJM model.

2.4. Horizon Year

- Greg Drake reviewed with ICS the draft scope of the horizon year study, which he had prepared. The draft scope was prepared before the EC had accepted the unified IRM methodology, and therefore, would have to be revised accordingly. The draft scope proposes to do an IRM study for year 2010.
- ICS agreed that the horizon year study should use the LOLE assessment from the NYISO's Comprehensive Reliability Planning Process (CRPP) to develop the horizon year IRM. CRPP should be done by September, which would accommodate the IRM study schedule of doing the sensitivities (with the horizon year being one) in October this year.

2.5. Special Case Resources (SCRs)

- Greg Drake indicated that Aaron Breidenburgh has come out with the new registered numbers on the SCRs for summer 2005, which show 1007 MW sold in New York State, 253 MW sold in New York City and 98 MW sold on Long Island. The 1007 MW sold in New York State is comparable to the 975 MW sold last year. However, the 253 MW sold in New York City is higher than the 172 MW sold last year. These numbers could be subject to change between now and the August EC meeting.

3. Next Meeting June 29, 2005 Meeting # 54

Secretary: King Look