

**Draft Minutes**  
**New York State Reliability Council, L.L.C. (NYSRC)**  
**Executive Committee**  
**Meeting No. 130 – February 12, 2010**  
**Albany Country Club, Voorheesville, NY**

**Members and**

**Alternates:**

George C. Loehr	Unaffiliated Member – Chairman
Curt Dahl	LIPA - Alternate – ICS Chairman - Phone
Richard J. Bolbrock	Municipal & Electric Cooperative Sector – Member
William H. Clagett	Unaffiliated Member - Phone
Bruce B. Ellsworth	Unaffiliated Member
George E. Smith	Unaffiliated Member
Michael Mager, Esq.	Couch White, LLP (Large Customers’ Sector) – Member Vice Chair
Mayer Sasson	Consolidated Edison Co. of NY, Inc. – Member
Joe Hippius	National Grid, USA – Member
Joe Fleury	New York State Electric & Gas/Rochester Gas & Electric – Member
Arnie Schuff	New York Power Authority – Member
Tom Duffy	Central Hudson Gas & Electric – Member - Phone
Paul DeCotis	LIPA - Member
Chris Wentlett	IPPNY – Alternate - Phone

**Others:**

Paul L. Gioia, Esq.	LeBoeuf Lamb Greene & MacRae, LLP - Council
Al Adamson	Consultant - Treasurer
John Adams	New York Independent System Operator (NYISO)
Zack Smith	New York Independent System Operator (NYISO)
Carl Patka, Esq.	New York Independent System Operator (NYISO) – Counsel
Henry Chao	New York Independent System Operator (NYISO)
Robert Waldele	New York Independent System Operator (NYISO) - Consultant
Don Raymond	Executive Secretary
Edward Schrom	NYS Department of Public Service

**Visitors – Open**

**Session:**

Phil Fedora	Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC)
-------------	---

“\*” – Denotes part time attendance at the meeting.

**Agenda Items – (Item # from Meeting Agenda)**

- I. **Executive Session** – An Executive Session was not requested.
  
- II. **Open Session**
  - 1.0 **Introduction** – Chairman Loehr called the NYSRC Executive Committee (Committee) Meeting No.130 to order at 9:30 A.M. on February 12, 2010 at the Albany Country Club.
  - 1.1 **Meeting Attendees** – All Members and/or Alternate Members (or representatives) of the NYSRC Executive Committee were in attendance.
  - 1.2 **Visitors** – See Attendee List, page 1.
  - 1.3 **Requests for Additional Agenda Items** – None
  - 1.4 **Executive Session Topics** – An Executive Session was not requested.
  
  - 2.0 **Meeting Minutes/Action Items**
    - 2.1 **Approval of Minutes for Meeting No. 129 (January 8, 2009)** – Mr. Raymond introduced the revised draft minutes. Dr. Sasson and Mr. Gioia provided additional comments. Following further discussion, Dr. Sasson moved for approval of the revised draft minutes including the additional comments. The motion was seconded by Mr. Ellsworth and approved by the Executive Committee Members – (13 to 0). The Executive Secretary will post the minutes on the NYSRC web site **AI - #130-1**.
    - 2.2 **Action Items List** – The Committee reviewed the Outstanding Action Items list and accepted removal of the following items:

<u>Action Item #</u>	<u>Comments</u>
108-3	The SEP was issued in late December 2009.
113-3	The completion of “STARS” was delayed to 6/11/2010.
124-7	Presentation on the “New Scotland” incident is rescheduled for April 9, 2010.
129-3	Mr. Gonzales resubmitted the letter on Modification of Exceptions on January 28, 2010.
129-5	Duplicate of AI #128-10.
129-6	The Wind Integration Study was presented to the Executive Committee by Mr. Z. Smith (NYISO) on February 12, 2010.
129-7	Mr. Ellsworth provided a presentation to the Executive Committee regarding NAESB on February 12, 2010.

- 3.0 **Organizational Issues**
  - 3.1 **NYSRC Treasurer’s Report**
    - i. **Summary of Receipts & Disbursements** - Mr. Adamson presented the Summary of Receipts and Disbursements, which showed a balance of \$172,700 at the end of January 2010. \$105,000 was received in January 2010 toward the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Call-for-Funds of \$165,000 which is now fully paid. Also, the Forms 1099 have been issued by Ms. Campoli. Mr. Bolbrock moved for approval of the Treasurer’s Report. The motion was seconded by Mr. Mager and unanimously approved by the Executive Committee – (13 to 0).
    - ii. **2009 Audit** - The auditor, Slocum DeAngelus Associates, was provided the 2009 accounting records by Mr. Adamson and Ms. Campoli. A letter was sent to the Members requesting payments made to the NYSRC during 2009. Mr. Adamson expects to have the Auditor’s report at the March 11, 2010 Executive Committee meeting.

## 3.2 Other Organizational Issues

**i. Options for the Amount and Collection of the NYSRC Management Fee** – Mr. Mager expressed the view that the current funding mechanism which requires a \$5000.00 management fee paid by the affiliate Members is adequate. Mr. Fleury countered that the current mechanism is 10 years old and is, therefore, due for a review just as the NYSRC has adjusted salaries and unaffiliated Member fees over that period. Mr. Bolbrock suggested that it would be of value to have a mechanism in place that could cover unforeseen emergencies. Mr. Adamson reminded the Executive Committee that it was originally proposed that NYSRC funding would come from the NYISO since all NYISO participants benefit. This approach started through the NYISO Governance Process but, at the time, was not acceptable to the NYISO Board, which viewed the NYSRC as having some form of independent regulatory control. As a consequence, such issues as NYSRC governance and control of the budget became insurmountable. Dr. Sasson expressed concern that the NYISO Board would still want budgetary control. The Executive Committee agreed that this would be a “non starter”. It was agreed that Mr. Loehr would discuss the matter with Mr. Whitley (NYISO President). In addition, Mr. Bolbrock was asked to Chair a subgroup, including Dr. Sasson, and Messrs. Mager, Fleury, and Adamson to explore alternatives. A report is expected by the July 9, 2010 Executive Committee meeting. – **AI #130-2.**

## 4.0 State/Federal Energy Activities

**4.1 Energy Planning Board (EPB) – SEP Update** – Nothing new to report.

**4.2 NPCC Report** – Mr. Fedora introduced portions of the NPCC Reliability Coordinating Committee’s Report prepared for the February NPCC Board meeting. Also, he noted that Mr. D. Goulding (NPCC President) will be vacating his NPCC position in order to join the NERC Board.

**4.3 FERC’s Proceeding on the 100kv “Bright Line”** – Nothing new to report

**4.4 Comments on DEC’s Revised NO<sub>x</sub> RACT Rule** – Mr. Gioia acknowledged receipt of a letter from Mr. C. LaRoe (IPPNY) recommending that the NYSRC provide comments on the DEC’s proposed revisions to the NO<sub>x</sub> RACT Rule. The comments are due by February 17, 2010. Mr. Patka indicated that the NYISO would be providing comments. Following discussion, the Executive Committee agreed that Mr. Gioia should prepare comments for Executive Committee consideration that support the NYISO comments – **AI #130-3.** For example, the proposed implementation schedule appears to be overly aggressive.

## 5.0 Key Reliability Issues

**5.1 Defensive Strategies** – Mr. Smith reported that the DSWG meeting held on Feb 11 at NYSERDA was well attended. Two new attendees included Bilgehan Donmez who is replacing Phil Tatro National Grid's representative and Meng Yue (attended by phone) representing Brookhaven National Labs. Also attending were Mr. Donal Kidney, manager of system studies for NPCC and Mr. Fedora. Roy Moxley of Schweitzer Engineering Labs made a presentation on SEL's phasor measurement equipment and its capabilities. The presentation generally followed a list of pre-submitted questions and topics prepared by the DSWG and the NYISO. Issues addressed included the use of various devices that are able to provide phasor measurements, and timing and accuracy issues associated with the devices. Also discussed was the loss of GPS signal issue. He also introduced wide area measurement and protection concepts. Mr. Dejan Sobajic, who is managing NYISO's DOE Smart Grid projects, asked questions regarding timing of PMU signals with other devices, the thrust being that the NYISO wants to be absolutely certain that the PMU data will be useful for the various applications included in the areas of operations and planning as well as protection and disturbance analysis.

During the meeting, SEL made a web connection with Mr. Armando Guzman, a system protection and studies expert, and Mr. Scott Manson, a system design and implementation expert. SEL's installed wide area protection schemes were discussed including installations in the WSCC, Mexico, S. Africa, and New Zealand. Industrial applications were also presented. SEL uses PSS/E frequently and has a real-time digital simulation capability. It was clear that Schweitzer Associates has the capability to develop a system for the Eastern Interconnection using its array of PMU devices. The SEL relays can be used simultaneously in both a PMU and protection mode. Mr. Smith agreed to provide Schweitzer with the scope of a potential study that could migrate from PSS/E to the real time digital simulator. The Executive Committee emphasized the need to consider cyber security. Mr. Gonzales noted that the spectrum of PMU uses is wide open and different uses will require different levels of security.

**5.2 NPCC Defensive Strategy Activities** – Nothing new to report

**5.3 Smart Grid – Status of Activities** - Mr. Patka indicated that the NYISO has received a Smart Grid Grant of \$37.4 million on behalf of itself and the New York Transmission Owners to install phasor measurement units and capacitors on the bulk power system. The NYISO is negotiating three agreements related to that grant: a contract with the DOE for the grant as the principal awardee; a sub-award agreement with the New York TOs, and a vendor agreement with a vendor to be selected to administer the Smart Grid Grant project for the NYISO. Finally, the NYISO and 23 other Planning Authorities have received a \$16 million grant to conduct interconnection-wide bulk power transmission system planning for the Eastern Interconnection.

Issues have arisen regarding the taxability of the federal grants. Further, none of the grants may be used to pay the income taxes. The IEEE is petitioning the IRS to exempt the entire \$4.0B Smart Grid grants.

**5.4 Interregional Studies of Proposed Transmission**

**i. NYISO – EIPC Study** – Mr. Chao reported that the EIPC is currently concentrating on completing its budget. A meeting of the technical and Executive Committees will take place in two weeks to develop procedures, and decide upon base case conditions and how the data will be collected. A new Interregional Planning Task Force will direct the technical work.

**ii. NPCC** – Mr. Fedora noted that at the March 10, 2010 RCC meeting the TFSS will review results of the Overall Transmission Assessment for 2013 light load conditions with varying levels of wind penetration.

**5.5 TO's Strategic Transmission and Reliability Study (STARS)** – Mr. Dahl, on behalf of the NYCA TOs, provided a status report on the New York State Transmission and Reliability Study (STARS). The Study is comprised of three phases. Phase I, which is complete, identifies the need for additional transfer capability to meet the statewide LOLE with the existing transmission system under a horizon year of 40,816Mw of peak load. It includes consideration of import transfer) levels within and into NYCA as functions of capacity expansions both upstate and downstate and various wind penetration levels. The Phase I report may be found on the NYISO website under “Special Studies”.

Phase II has recently begun. It will focus on identifying: (a) potential transmission solutions to meet the LOLE reliability objective, (b) the impact of the facility Condition Assessments (CA) of the existing transmission, and (c) solutions to reduce/eliminate bottling of wind generation identified in the “NYISO Wind Study”. Three cases will be examined: a base case, a case with replacement of aging infrastructure with facilities that provide incremental increases in transfer capability, and a case with new transmission facilities. The generation scenarios will integrate generation to determine finalized interface upgrade options.

Phase III will investigate appropriate sensitivity analysis.

**5.6 “BART” Comments – Status** – Nothing new to report.

**6.0 Installed Capacity Subcommittee (ICS) Status Report/Issue**

**6.1 ICS Status Report and Discussion Issues** – Mr. Dahl reported that ICS met on Monday

February 2, 2010. He noted that the NYISO has indicated that the Upstate/Downstate Study results would be available in April 2010 for ICS review. Mr. Dahl reported that ICS also discussed the following:

**i. 2010 Work Plan**

- a) Unified Method Improvements – Con Edison representatives made a presentation on improvements to the IRM capacity shift methodology to achieve LOLE=0.1. It was agreed to test a methodology which utilizes all zones instead of just the capacity surplus zones. It was also agreed to test another refinement proposed by Con Edison to adjust capacity shifts based on resource availability to allow for a more precise transfer. Mr. Younger (Slater Consultants) agreed to chair a small group of TOs and Generators to develop a recommendation for ICS at its March 31, 2010 meeting.
- b) Policy 5 Revision – ICS discussed a number of areas of Policy 5 for possible update including modeling of outside world and Load Forecast Uncertainty. It was agreed that Mr. Adamson would work with NYISO to finalize a list of updates for the next ICS meeting.
- c) UCAP Translation- ICS discussed a proposal by NYSEG to develop additional detail on the UCAP translation. It was agreed to present additional information on UCAP requirements in future IRM reports.
- d) Heat wave days – ICS agreed to send a request to the Load Forecast Task Force (LFTF) for an analysis on the number of peak days in heat wave assumed for forecast versus historical. This information may be used to formulate a “sustained hot weather” sensitivity study for next year’s IRM analysis.

- ii. **Gold Book Release Date** – ICS was requested to discuss any reliability impact arising from advancement of NYISO Gold Book schedule from June to March. It was agreed there were no NYSRC reliability study inconsistencies due to Gold Book release date changes since the IRM study utilizes DMNC testing from the previous summer for generation modeling and an interim load forecast update following Gold Book release.

**6.2 Other ICS Issues**

- i. **NYSRC Review of 2009 State Energy Plan** – ICS discussed the scope of the SEP review including Plan highlights, impacts of SEP on reliability (particularly environmental considerations), impact of the Plan on NYSRC Reliability Rules and review of how the final SEP addressed 10/19/09 NYSRC comments on the draft plan. A working group was formed to review the Plan and report back to ICS with its findings by the March 3, 2010 ICS meeting.
- ii. **PSC Request For Earlier IRM Report** – Mr. Gioia noted receipt of a phone call from Mr. David Drexler, Esq.(PSC Counsel) requesting earlier notice of the IRM for the subsequent capability year. Mr. Gioia agreed to raise the request with the Executive Committee, but indicated that an earlier date was doubtful given the need for timely convergence of key data availability and necessary lead time for the NYISO to prepare for the capacity auction. Mr. Patka indicated having a similar discussion with Mr. Drexler. The NYISO requires action by the PSC and FERC by mid February given the 45 day lead time to prepare for the April 1 auction. After further discussion, the Executive Committee concurred that the IRM schedule cannot be advanced. Also, it was noted that PSC acceptance of the May 1, 2010-April 30, 2011 IRM was on February 11, 2010.

**7.0 Reliability Rules Subcommittee Status Report/Issues**

- 7.1 RRS Status Report & Discussion Issues** – Mr. Adamson reported that RRS met on February 4, 2010. Referring to the List of Potential Reliability Rules Changes – Outstanding, he noted that PRRs #8 and #52 are waiting for completion of the Reactive Power Study and NPCC investigation of governor response and the UFLS program, respectively. PRR #103, C-R4; System Data Requirements, is nearly complete and a presentation to the Executive Committee is expected in March 2010. The purpose is to improve transmission data by developing a mechanism to identify and correct “suspect data”. PRR

#105, Rescission of Exception to NYSRC Reliability Rules, is being modified to provide more specificity to Measurement E-M9. Also, Policy 1, will be revised accordingly. Delivery to the Executive Committee is expected prior to its April 9, 2010 meeting.

## 7.2 Status of New/Revised Reliability Rules

### i. Proposed NYSRC Reliability Rules Revision

#### a. List of Potential Reliability Rules (“PRR”) Changes

#### b. Status of New/Modified Reliability Rules

##### 1. PRRs for EC Final Approval

- None

##### 2. PRRs for EC Approval to Post

- None

##### 3. PRRs for EC Discussion

- None

## 7.3 NPCC/NERC Standard Tracking

i. **NERC Standards Development** – Mr. Adamson noted that the Drafting Team is still reviewing comments on TPL-001-1, Transmission Planning Performance Criteria, which were submitted on October 16, 2009 (version 5). Balloting (10 days) will begin shortly and is expected to close by the end of February 2010. NPCC is discussing the proposed Criteria today and the NYISO is also scrutinizing the proposal. Mr. Adamson expects the new standards to be more stringent than some of NERC’s existing Rules. Mr. Gonzales noted that he and Mr. Clayton are concerned regarding the applicability of the issue regarding all of the proposed Criteria. Mr. Hipius reminded the Executive Committee that, first, the NYSRC needs to know what its Rules apply to and not simply assume the 100kv “bright line”.

Mr. Z. Smith (NYISO) noted that ISO-NE is leaning toward a “no” vote. He further summarized some of the NYISO concerns and areas requiring additional definition:

- (a) FERC’s lack of action on the BES issue. Thereby requiring and assumption of the 100kv “bright line”,
- (b) The evaluation of an adequate spare equipment strategy applicable to equipment that could be out of service for 12 months or more and the impact on the electric system. This implies performance of (n-1)-1 studies on the entire system,
- (c) Annual current assessments for years 1, 5 and 6-10. The NYISO believes the RNA is adequate and the proposal is unnecessarily burdensome,
- (d) The list of sensitivity cases is too prescriptive (no room for engineering judgment), thereby, requiring certain analysis that may have no objective and miss others,
- (e) The modeling of dynamic characteristics of load is over-kill and may not be feasible. If feasible, the simulated response would likely be unrealistic,
- (f) The requirement for transient stability studies out 6-10 years is unrealistic, and
- (g) Allowable Corrective Action excludes tripping of HVDC lines.

Also, Mr. Smith indicated that Mr. Dana Winters (NGrid and Drafting Team member) will attend the February 19, 2010 NPCC-TFSS meeting to answer questions. The NPCC position has yet to be decided. With the position of NPCC Members in hand, Mr. Adamson will request a recommended NYSRC voting position from the RRS for Executive Committee consideration.

Mr. Loehr noted that testing at 300kv and above appears to be more stringent. Also, base case conditions prior to testing is not clearly defined.

## 7.4 Other RRS Issues

i. **Modification to Exceptions – Policy 1** – Mr. Adamson reminded the Executive Committee that a revised letter from Mr. Gonzales, re: Request for NYSRC Modifications to Exceptions to Reliability Rules, was received on January 28, 2010. Mr. Gonzales indicated that the request went through the Operating Committee review process and was accepted for transmittal to the

NYSRC. Both requests were from NYPA: Exception #13: Post Contingency Flows on Niagara Project Facilities which removes reference to the Niagara – Robinson Road 230kv line. Exception #19: Post Contingency Loading on Poletti Feeders Q35L and Q35M due to the retirement of Poletti on January 31, 2010. Mr. Adamson noted that RRS has reviewed the request and recommends approval. Mr. Ellsworth moved for approval of the request. The motion was seconded by Mr. Smith and unanimously approved by the Executive Committee – (13 to 0).

## **8.0 Reliability Compliance Monitoring Subcommittee (RCMS) Report/Issues**

**8.1 RCMS Status Report & Discussion Issues** – Mr. Adamson indicated that RCMS met for its regular meeting on February 10, 2010. All members were in attendance. He further indicated that RCMS approved a draft 2009 NYRCP Report for delivery to the Executive Committee during its March 11, 2010 meeting.

**8.2 2010 New York Reliability Compliance Program (NYRCP)** – Mr. Adamson presented the 2010 NYRCP including the Measures that RCMS will be reviewing in 2010, the due date of compliance documentation for each Measure, and the documentation required. RCMS met with the NYISO Staff on January 21, 2010 and gained their acceptance of the due dates and documentation requirements. Mr. Bolbrock moved for approval of the 2010 NYRCP. The motion was seconded by Dr. Sasson and unanimously approved by the Executive Committee – (13 to 0).

## **8.3 Other RCMS Issues** –

Mr. Adamson reported that he has reviewed the non-public NYISO CIT Audit Report for 2009 by FERC, NERC and NPCC. The NYISO had provided documentation for 19 Requirements. The auditors did not identify any areas of concern and were very complimentary of the thoroughness shown by the NYISO. Mr. Adamson agreed to distribute his Report given to the RCMS – **AI #130-4**.

## **9.0 NYISO Status Report/Issues**

### **9.1 NYISO 2010 Comprehensive Reliability Planning Process (CRPP)** –

The Assumptions Matrix for the 2010 RNA has been drafted and continues development at the Electric System Planning Working Group (ESPWG) with finalization targeted for mid-March. It should be noted that with the shift in the CRPP cycle as a result of Order 890, the RNA will now be performed ahead of the IRM Study (RNA is now required bi-annually – even numbered years). The 2010 RNA will be based upon load forecasts being developed for the 2010 Gold Book and adjusted by the ESPWG as appropriate for the RNA analysis. The system configuration will be based on the latest information from all market participants and neighboring systems and the study analysis will begin in mid-March. RNA study drafts will be presented to ESPWG/TPAS in the May-June timeframe and, after OC and MC approval, presented to the NYISO Board for its August 17 meeting. Immediately upon Board approval, the NYISO will begin work on the CRPP with the goal of presenting the results to ESPWG/TPAS in November and to the OC, MC, and Board by December 2010.

**9.2 Economic Study of Transmission Congestion** – Mr. Adams reported that the NYISO's Congestion Study Phase 2 (a.k.a. CARIS Phase 2) preparations are underway. By April 13, 2010 the NYISO must file with FERC on the CARIS treatment of bilaterals, TCCs, and ICAP. The NYISO has presented final drafts of the documents for requesting CARIS Phase 2 studies and respective agreement templates to the ESPWG. Other CARIS preparations include developing voting procedures and how to address the database for projects which will have their first ten years of commercial operation extending beyond the current ten year planning horizon. Phase 2 CARIS studies are performed throughout the year as requests are submitted from Interested Parties.

The next CARIS Phase 1 study will begin in 2011.

### 9.3 Other Status Reports/Issues

i. **NYCA Reactive Power Study** – Nothing new to report.

ii. **Wind Integration Study/Activities** – Mr. Waldele, Consultant for the NYISO, provided a presentation on the status of the Wind Integration Study. The Study is designed to determine specific transmission constraints for “bottled generation” and transfers at various levels of installed nameplate wind capacity and identify possible upgrades on limiting facilities. From the thermal perspective, “bottled generation” becomes significant at about 5000Mws of wind capacity in Zones C (Corning/ Elmira), D (Willis/Plattsburgh), and E (Watertown/Thousand Islands). The limiting elements are primarily local 115kV lines though limiting contingencies including EHV (d/c tower, stuck breaker) and parallel path EHV lines.

Zone	Wind Cap. <u>Existing</u>	Wind Cap. <u>Additional</u>	Limiting Element	Comments
C (NYSEG)	364Mw	586Mw	Bennett-Bath 115kV Meyer-Greenidge 115kV	Local (pre-contingency)
C (East)		490Mw	Many 115kV lines	L/O Hillside 230kV tower, Oakdale 345kV trans. tower and stuck breaker
D	387Mw	681Mw	Willis-Colton-Malone 115kV	L/O d/c 230kV St. Law.- Willis (Tower) Taylorville-Porter 115kV needs added study
E	368Mw	716Mw	Lyme Tap-Coffeen St. 115kV	L/O Local 115kV gen. leads to Watertown

From a system perspective, a number of EHV historical constraints were identified, e.g. Leeds-Pleasant Valley and Rock Tavern-Ramapo 345kV lines. The evaluation of upgrades and stability testing has begun. The next working group meeting is February 17, 2010.

### 10.0 Other Items

**10.1 NYISO Operations Report** – Mr. Gonzales indicated that on March 1, 2010 eight of eleven EHV breakers at Niagara Station opened unexpectedly over a period of 27 minutes. The NYISO operators adjusted imports and exports properly averting additional loss of facilities. The incident is currently under investigation.

**10.2 North American Energy Standards Board** – Mr. Ellsworth reminded the Executive Committee that the Chairman had requested a presentation on the link between NAESB and the “Smart Grid”. Mr. Ellsworth began by describing the history, responsibilities, organization structure, and governance of NAESB. NAESB standards requests may come from anyone, and are voluntary and may or may not be adopted by an industry or regulatory body. Under the Energy Independence and Security Act (EISA) of 2007, the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), a sub-set of the Dept of Commerce, has “primary responsibility to coordinate development of a framework that includes protocols and model standards for information management to achieve interoperability of smart grid devices and systems”. NIST has developed a framework for Smart Grid Interoperability Standards including fourteen Priority Action Plans (PAPs).

On September 30, 2009 the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) officially assigned NAESB the responsibility to develop Requirements and Use Cases pertinent to Priority Action Plan (PAP) items 3, 4, and 9. It subsequently assigned it PAP 10:

- a) PAP 03 – “Develop Common Specification for Price and Product Definition”,
- b) PAP 04 – “A Common Scheduling Mechanism for Energy Transactions”,
- c) PAP 09 – “Standard Demand Response and Distributed Energy Resources Signals”, and
- d) PAP 10 – “Standard Energy Usage Information”

**11.0 Visitors’ Comments – None**

**12.0 Meeting Schedule -**

<b><u>Mtg. No.</u></b>	<b><i>Date</i></b>	<b><i>Location</i></b>	<b><i>Time</i></b>
#131	Mar 11, 2010	Albany Country Club, Voorheesville, N.Y.	9:30 A.M.
#132	April 9, 2010	Albany Country Club, Voorheesville, N.Y.	9:30 A.M.

The open session of Committee Meeting No.130 was adjourned at 3.25 P.M.