

**Meeting of the  
New York State Reliability Council, L.L.C. (NYSRC)  
Reliability Rules Subcommittee (RRS)**

**Draft Minutes of Meeting #114  
RRS Meeting @ NYSERDA Offices  
17 Columbia Circle, Albany, NY**

**Thursday, December 04, 2008**

**In Attendance:**

Larry Hochberg	NYPA	Member (phone)
Timothy Bush	IPPNY	Guest (phone)
Al Adamson	Consultant	Member
Joe Hippias	National Grid	Member (phone)
Henry Wysocki	Con Edison	Member
Roger Clayton	Chairman	Member
Brian Gordon	NYSEG (Secretary)	Member (phone)
George Loehr	NYSRC EC	Guest
Robert Ganley	LIPA	Member
David Mahlmann	NYISO	Guest *

\* Denotes part time attendance.

**Agenda Items**

**1.0 Introduction**

Meeting 114 was called to order by Mr. Clayton at 09:30.

**1.1 Executive Session**

No Executive Session was requested.

**1.2 Requests for Additional Agenda Items**

Interpretation of C-M5 was assigned to agenda item 4.1. Resolution of the LIPA STE exception was placed as agenda item 4.2.

**2.0 Meeting Minutes/Action Items**

**2.1 Approval of RRS Meeting #113 Minutes**

The minutes of RRS Meeting #113 were approved with changes as final.

## 2.2 Action Items List

The following Action Items were reviewed:

**AI 108-2** Incorporate RR Manual revisions suggested by Mr. Ellis into next RR Manual version

Mr. Ellis has provided Mr. Adamson the revisions and will be incorporated into the next version in January. Action Item is now closed.

**AI 111-3** Review the transmission facility lists developed by Mr. Ellis for comparison to the NYSRC Reliability Rule terminology.

Change the status to closed because it was completed.

**AI 112-2** Reactive testing impact to EC

Change the status to a closed because it was completed.

**AI 113-1** Review status of the inclusion of BPS testing in SRIS study process

Change the date to 1/6/2009.

**AI 113-2** Request through the EC an investigation into the possibility of changing the NYS Bulk Power System definition into the NYISO Secured Facility terminology while keeping all NYISO lists as they currently are defined

Change the status to a closed because it was completed. Activity will be focused into producing a single list for NYSRC

**AI 113-5** Ask Mr. Ravalli why Northport STE Tie exception was requested.

Change the status to a closed because it was completed.

## 3.0 NYSRC Reliability Rules (RR) Development

### 3.1 List of Potential RR Changes

RRS reviewed the list of potential rule changes.

PRR 96 Align measurements with revisions to NPCC

The ~~Comment~~ period is still open. Item to be discussed at the next RRS meeting ~~next week~~ after comments, if any, have been collected.

PRR97 B-R6 and B-M4: BPS Facilities

The concept of using the terminology of NYISO secured facilities to identify NYSRC controlled facilities was not favorably received at the EC. The EC preferred a single list

[RRS Draft Minutes of Meeting 114-aa rev.doc](#)~~RRS Draft Minutes of Meeting 114.doc~~12042008

as a good goal. It was discussed that the present A1 and A2 lists are hardwired to the NYISO/TO agreement. The RRS will need to develop criteria rules for facilities to be added or subtracted to define the original list. A few ideas were discussed at RRS including 345 kV, 230 kV, and selected 115 kV or lower facilities. The RRS will develop ideas about criteria that can be used to create a list like the current ATR list (AI 114-1). Mr. Clayton will request input from the NYISO to develop this criteria (AI 114-2).

### PRR 99 G. System Restoration

Mr. Adamson put out a template from the subgroup's collective input. Mr. Mahlmann provided a modified template. The RRS will work from Mr. Adamson's template as a starting point. The subgroup will continue to work on a final template. NPCC Directory #8 is final, but the revised NERC EOP 005-3 is not final. ~~It was suggested that the TO self-certify to NYISO that their plan coordinates with the NYISO restoration plan.~~ The subgroup's goal is to provide a revised template at the January meeting (AI 114-3).

## **3.2 NPCC Criteria**

### NPCC Criteria Revisions

Directories #9 and #10 are currently being considered for approval by the NPCC Members.

## **3.3 NERC Standards**

### NERC Standard Tracking

FAC11 (multiple element contingency in operating area as they are in the planning area) has had favorable straw poll results. Mr. Clayton will rewrite SAR to the SAR team before Dec 25. Comments will be addressed by others. This will result in a new SAR but it accomplishes goal by New Year. The process is looking positive at this point.

## **4.0 Additional Agenda Items**

### **4.1 C-M5 Interpretation**

Mr. Adamson reported that, during a compliance review of a Measurement C-M5 market participation outage data misreporting violation that took place in 2004, RCMS raised compliance issues concerning other potential C-M5 data error compliance violations. For example, the NYISO reported to RCMS that 30 to 40 audits conducted by the NYISO Market Monitoring Unit since 2001 uncovered outage data misreporting, which lead to restatements of GADS data after the NYISO worked with market participants to correct the data. Prior to being corrected, some of this erroneous outage data were used to determine generating unit EFORs for IRM studies that had to be later revised. The NYISO did not report these data errors as rule violations to RCMS because of its definition of a reportable C-M5 violation as follows: "A reportable violation of C-M5 arises when the NYISO is unable to resolve the GADS data issues with the generators, or it results in a generator being paid for capacity it was unable to produce." Therefore, RCMS has requested the EC to ask RRS to provide an interpretation of C-M5.

RRS Draft Minutes of Meeting 114-aa rev.doc  
RRS Draft Minutes of Meeting 114.doc 12042008

specifically the meaning or intent of the term “accurate resource outage data” in the measurement. RRS members had preliminary discussion on this request. RRS will further review this issue at its next meeting, depending on the EC’s approval of the RCMS recommendation. Depending on the outcome of this interpretation, RRS may wish to consider a measurement modification to clarify C-M5 requirements.

~~The existing C-M5 requirement is that the data submitted should be accurate. Neither the NYISO nor the NYSRC has defined the accurate submission of data. After the results of the investigation of the 2004 issue that was identified by the NYISO MMU Market Monitoring Unit of bad GADS data. It was determined that the generation personnel did not have knowledge or training in how to provide correct GADS data. NYISO reviews and screens for misreporting and discuss any potential problems with the generation owner to make the data accurate. The outage data vs. the NERC average outage data will flag the GADS data to be investigated. In the 2004 case serious under reporting caused financial harm due to incorrect GADS reporting of a 500 MW capacity unit. This was a violation of the NYISO tariff and NYSRC rules. Punitive fines were levied. From the investigation of this event, a lot was learned about the data reporting process to the ancillary market group. If the NYISO screening missed it, the EFOR for generation units would be misrepresented in MARS studies. The IRM may be incorrect but by the next year the data is corrected and may result in an IRM change. The NYISO identified 30 or 40 cases of errors over the last several years that were resolved with generation owners but none resulted in market impacts. NYISO states that a reportable violation would occur if the NYISO and the generation owner cannot resolve a GADS data submission discrepancy, at that point it is not accurate (not concerning the initial submission). When generation owner was confronted generation owner admitted the problem and resubmitted new GADS data but it had already created major market impacts. RCMS asks the question “Is miss-reported (under reported outage data) data not accurate?” RRS response is that there is no need to provide an interpretation to RCMS because it is already very clear by the face value of accurate. Preliminary discussion is that it is already covered and there is no need for a reliability rule change or clarification.~~

## 4.2 Request for Northport Tie STE Exception

John Ravalli was in agreement that the Northport Tie STE exception is not needed; the transmission owner will provide an operating instruction to the NYISO for guidance.

## 5.0 Committee Reports

### 5.1 ICS

ICS will present a final draft of the Finalized-draft2009 IRM report for approval at tomorrow’s EC meeting and it will be presented to the EC tomorrow. A final 2009 IRM will to be determined by the EC based on the base case and sensitivity studies in the report.

### 5.2 RCMS

When modifications of D-R1 and D-M1 were adopted in December 2007 that permits utilizing interruptible for operating reserve, the NYISO was requested to provide a report in 2008 indicating In the past, there was a request for demand side resource for operating  
[RRS Draft Minutes of Meeting 114-aa rev.doc](#)  
[RRS Draft Minutes of Meeting 114.doc](#)12042008

~~resources. RRS asked NYISO for a report of its experience utilizing interruptible load for operating reserve. Since no third parties in New York have so far asked for this service, this report has not yet been prepared, so there was no experience in NY and next NE.~~

RCMS will be recommending in the future to the RRS to consolidate five measures into one measurement in the K-M2 area.

## **6.0 Next Meeting**

Meeting #114 was adjourned at 11:49.

The next meeting (#115) is scheduled for Tuesday, January 6, 2009 at 9:30 in the NYSERDA offices located at Columbia Circle, Albany, NY.