

## Carol Lynch

---

**From:** Alan Adamson [aadamson@nycap.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, June 05, 2009 1:38 PM  
**To:** Roger Clayton  
**Subject:** PRR 99 - CE Comments

Roger,

I spoke to Dave Mahlmann about the four revisions for which RRS had concerns, that CE has recommended. He has no problems with the other changes.

- G-M1.6 - first revision - Dave agrees that replacing "procedures" with "requirements" and leaving in the rest of the end of the rest of 1st sentence is OK. If you recall, I said that Ed Thompson (CE) was agreeable to this.
- G-M1.9 - Dave agrees with CE to replace "and" with "or" is OK. He says drills and simulation are part of the same process. i thing that someone at RRS said the same thing.
- G-M2 - He does not want to insert "by the NYISO" (as suggested by CE), but would like to remove "NYISO and" in that same sentence. In other words, the TOs must coordinate with each other, but not with the NYISO. G-M1.1 covers NYISO coordination requirements.
- G-M3 - Second revision. This is more stringent than NPCC and present NYISO requirements. Per Dave, this a requirement that CE wants to impose on its blackstart units. However, Dave says he can live with this revision. This is a requirement that Mayer should defend at the EC.

In summary, I agree with where we wound up in the first three bullets. The EC must decide in the 4th.

Al