

***Meeting of the
New York State Reliability Council, L.L.C. (NYSRC)
Reliability Rules Subcommittee (RRS)***

***Draft Minutes of Meeting #122
RRS Meeting @ NYSERDA Offices
17 Columbia Circle, Albany, NY
Thursday, August 6, 2009***

In Attendance:

Al Adamson	Consultant	Member
Larry Hochberg	NYPA	Member
Dean Ellis	Dynegy	Member
Roger Clayton	Chairman	Member
Greg Campoli	NYISO	Member
James D'Andrea	TransCanada (Phone)	Guest
Henry Wysocki	ConEd (Secretary)	Member
Roy Pfleiderer	National Grid	Member
Robert Ganley	LIPA	Member
Liam Baker	US Power Gen (Phone)	Guest
Erin Hogan	NYSERDA	Guest

Agenda Items

1.0 Introduction

Meeting 122 was called to order by Mr. Clayton at 09:30.

1.1 Executive Session

No Executive Session was requested.

1.2 Requests for Additional Agenda Items

No additional agenda items were requested.

2.0 Meeting Minutes/Action Items

2.1 Approval of RRS Meeting #121 Minutes

The minutes of RRS Meeting #121 were approved with changes as final.

2.2 Action Items List

The following Action Items were reviewed:

RRS Draft Minutes Meeting 122-1.doc08062009

AI 121-1: Ensure that the Restoration Working Group has been given the most recent changes to PRR 99.

Action Item status changed to complete.

AI 121-2: Incorporate changes into PRR 99 and distribute to applicable persons

Action Item status changed to complete.

AI 121-3: Summarize changes to NYISO Policy #1 and send to RRS members for review.

Action Item status changed to complete.

AI 121-4: Present proposed RRS changes to NYISO Policy #1 to the EC.

Action Item status changed to complete.

AI 121-5: Make changes to PRR 101 and circulate to RRS and other appropriate persons.

Action Item status changed to complete.

AI 121-6: Provide a list of PTDFs against the TO 100kV and above FERC list.

Action Item status changed to complete.

AI 121-7: Make a decision to publish a list of transmission facilities along with their TDFs.

Action Item status changed to complete.

3.0 NYSRC Reliability Rules (RR) Development

3.1 List of Potential RR Changes

RRS reviewed the list of potential rule changes.

PRR 99 System Restoration

Mr. Roger Clayton stated that he had presented PRR 99 to the EC with the wording that was agreed to by the RRS at its last meeting. He informed the RRS that the final approval of the PRR would be voted on by the EC on August 14th.

At this point, a discussion commenced which included Mr. Liam Baker, Mr. James D'Andrea, Mr. Al Adamson and Mr. Clayton. Mr. Baker and Mr. D'Andrea both voiced their concerns about changes made to G-M1 item 6 and G-M3 and the possibility of TOs dictating black start testing requirements to generator owners. Both believed that the generator owners/operators had much more expertise in developing testing requirements than the TOs. Mr. Clayton then brought up some info that Mr. Myer Sasson of Con

Edison had discovered in the Tariff. According to Mr. Sasson, in order to satisfy the tariff, the providers had to meet TO testing requirements. Mr Baker disagreed. He proceeded to go over the history of tariff negotiations, stating that the requirements that were agreed to were arbitrarily called TO testing requirements and could not be changed without changes to the tariff.

Mr. Adamson then clarified his motive for inserting the changes into G-M1 item 6 and G-M3. He said that he was attempting to make the requirements of G-M1 item 6 consistent with G-M3. Without his proposed changes, he thought the revised rule would imply that it was the responsibility of the NYISO to develop black start testing procedures.

Mr. Clayton recommended removing the revisions of Mr. Adamson but Mr. Adamson disagreed again stating that removing the changes would remove the requirement for the NYISO to ensure that test procedures are in place. Mr. D'Andrea was concerned that new reliability rules would discourage generator owners/operators from providing black start services. He stated that since black start service is voluntary, many providers would withdraw from the black start program rather than comply to the new rules.

Mr. Clayton suggested the following to resolve any discrepancies with PRR 99. First he suggested using the same wording in G-M3 that is currently used in G-M2. G-M1, item 6 would be revised to require the NYISO to proceduralize the implementation of the measurement. And finally, since the NYISO administers the testing time frame, any reference to capability year will be stricken.

These revisions were agreed to by the meeting attendees and all changes will be submitted to Mr. Sasson and the RRS for review. If no comments are received then the PRR will be recommended to the EC for re-posting.

As an action item, Mr. Adamson agreed to make changes to PRR 99 and circulate to appropriate personnel with note that if no comments are received by COB 8/10 then it will be recommended to EC for posting. **(AI 122-1)**

PRR 101 Resource Data Availability

To begin, Mr. Clayton presented a brief history of the PRR followed by a review of the previous changes agreed to at the last RRS meeting. Mr. Clayton then asked for any further comments. Some editorial changes were suggested.

Mr. Greg Campoli, of the NYISO, had comments on Section 7A. He believed the word “used” or “has been determined to be approved for the IRM study” replace the phrase “for use”. Mr. Adamson clarified the intent of the rule saying it was to ensure that data is correct when used in the IRM study. If, after EC approval of the IRM study, bad data is found then the generator is considered noncompliant.

Mr. Campoli questioned the use of the word “accurate” saying that it would be extremely difficult for the NYISO to certify accurate. As a solution, Mr. Clayton suggested to strike the word “accurate” in Section C-M5. Mr. Adamson also suggested that the word “complete” also be stricken from the measurement and that NYISO procedures would ensure that data is accurate and complete.

As an action item, Mr. Adamson agreed to make changes to PRR 101 and distribute to RRS and appropriate persons. (AI 122-2)

PRR 104

Work continues on developing the PRR.

NYISO Policy #1

The process for removing an exception was again discussed. The RRS discussed the need for a TO to prove that an exception is no longer needed prior to removal. The main argument against this requirement is that removing an exception inherently makes the system more reliable. It was strongly emphasized that the NYISO's decision to remove an exception should be based strictly on reliability and not on economics. Changes submitted by Joe Hipius were reviewed and would be discussed at the next meeting.

3.2 NPCC Criteria

NPCC Update

Nothing new to report.

3.3 NERC Standards

NERC Standard Tracking

Nothing new to report.

4.0 Additional Agenda Items

4.1 BES / BPS Impact on NYSRC RR

The RRS reviewed a list of PTDFs against the TO 100kV and above FERC list provided by Mr. Elacqua.

5.0 Committee Reports

5.1 EC

None

5.2 ICS

None

5.2 RCMS

None

6.0 Next Meeting

Meeting #122 was adjourned at 1:42.

The next meeting (#123) is scheduled for Thursday, September 3, 2009 at 9:30 in the NYSERDA offices located at 17 Columbia Circle, Albany, NY.