

***Meeting of the  
New York State Reliability Council, L.L.C. (NYSRC)  
Reliability Rules Subcommittee (RRS)***

***Draft Minutes of Meeting #128  
RRS Meeting  
Conference Call  
Thursday, February 4, 2010***

**In Attendance:**

<b>Alan Adamson</b>	Consultant	Member
<b>Larry Hochberg</b>	NYPA (Acting Chairman)	Member
<b>Dean Ellis</b>	Dynegy	Member
<b>Robert Ganley</b>	LIPA	Member
<b>Roy Pfleiderer</b>	National Grid	Member
<b>Brian Gordon</b>	NYSEG	Member
<b>Paul Kiernan</b>	NYISO	Member
<b>Zach Smith</b>	NYISO	Guest
<b>Greg Campoli</b>	NYISO	Guest
<b>John Adams</b>	NYISO	Guest
<b>Brad Kranz</b>	NRG	Guest

**Agenda Items**

**1.0 Introduction**

Meeting 128 was called to order by Mr. Larry Hochberg at 09:35.

**1.1 Executive Session**

No Executive Session was requested.

**1.2 Requests for Additional Agenda Items**

As shown on the agenda, the BES/BPS impact on NYSRC Rules is included on the agenda as Agenda Item #4.1.

NYISO Procedure on Targeted Voltages  
Agenda Item #4.2

Modified Exception Letter from Rick Gonzales  
Agenda Item #4.3

NERC Transmission Planning Standard TPL-001-1  
Agenda Item #4.4

## **2.0 Meeting Minutes/Action Items**

### **2.1 Approval of RRS Meeting #127 Minutes**

The minutes of RRS Meeting #127 were approved as final.

### **2.2 Action Items List**

#### AI 87-5

Mr. Pfleiderer provided an update of the RPWG progress

#### AI 126-1

Action is still on-going.

Due date changed to 3/4/10.

#### AI 126-2

Greg Campoli provided a status update. Rick Gonzales thinks that the secured list may not be inclusive enough to define the BPS. Mr. Campoli stated that face to face meetings with Mr. Gonzales are needed to resolve the issue.

Due date changed to 3/4/10.

#### AI 127-1

Action complete. 2/4/2010

#### AI 127-2

Action complete. 2/4/2010

#### AI 127-3

Action complete. 2/4/2010

## **3.0 NYSRC Reliability Rules (RR) Development**

### **3.1 List of Potential RR Changes**

RRS reviewed the list of potential rule changes.

#### PRR 103

Mr. Zach Smith quickly went over changes made to the PRR by the NYISO which were only minor. Immediately afterwards, changes proposed by Mr. Al Adamson's were discussed. These changes were more significant than those by the NYISO. Mr. Adamson asked where the procedures governing the data review process, will be located. Mr. Smith replied that the procedures would be located in System Analysis Data Manual. Mr. Adamson then questioned the second sentence of item 2 in section 6 (C-M9). He believed that this statement didn't add to the compliance requirements. It was then agreed by the RRS that the phrase "include descriptions" be changed to "specify" and that the word "parameters" be added after the word data. Mr. Roy Pfleiderer had a concern regarding how the NYISO would verify compliance for changes made to base cases resulting from

interconnections. The NYISO response was that the responsible TO would send an e-mail stating the review was complete. It was agreed that only a comparison of both base cases using PSS/E would be required. Mr. Adamson then questioned the methods of compliance measurements that would be used for Level 3. The NYISO response was that it will keep a record of when the guidelines are applied. These records can then be audited if necessary. Mr. Campoli stated that this would be an annual review. Mr. Pflaiderer then added that he believed the time requirements were too vague. In his opinion, a TO who misses a deadline once should not be judged the same as a chronically late TO. He then suggested that degrees of noncompliance should be considered. Although the rule has always been present, Mr. Pflaiderer was concerned that the process has changed. Mr. Smith of the NYISO stated that the process had not changed but had instead become more formalized. Mr. Adamson then voiced a concern dealing with the measurement of the guideline being applied correctly. It was believed that the only way this could be accomplished was to identify suspect data found at a later date due to the guideline not being applied correctly. The Level 3 non-compliance will be rewritten to correct this.

**AI 128-1:** As an Action Item, Mr. Adamson and Mr. Smith agreed to rewrite C-M9.

### PRR 105

Mr. Ganley submitted some changes to PRR 105 which were approved. Mr. Pflaiderer had a concern with E-M9 item 1. He believed that the NYISO should not be required to do an annual review. Mr. Pflaiderer also thought that allowing the NYISO to suggest modifications to an exception may be a problem. He believed that the NYISO should not modify exceptions because the risk lies with the equipment owner. Mr. Campoli suggested that the policy should be reviewed first before any further work be done on PRR 105. Mr. Pflaiderer asked that the phrase “or needs modification” be removed from item 1. It was suggested that item 1 be changed to include the responsible TO be a part of all NYISO reviews of exceptions to determine if they are still required or need modifications. The PRR will be modified to reflect this change.

**AI 128-2** As an Action Item, Larry Hochberg agreed to modify the PRR.

**AI 128-3** As an Action Item, Al Adamson agreed to modify Policy 1.

## **3.2 NPCC Criteria**

### NPCC Update

Directory #8 has no impact on rules per Mr. Dave Mahlmann via conversation with Mr. Adamson.

## **3.3 NERC Standards**

### NERC Standard Tracking

EOP-005-1 defeated.

A RRS recommendation is needed prior to the vote on TPL-001-1

## **4.0 Additional Agenda Items**

### **4.1 BES / BPS Impact on NYSRC RR**

Nothing new to report.

### **4.2 NYISO Target Voltage Procedure**

The RRS thought that this procedure may create reliability issues. The procedure will require generators to increase reactive output which would reduce reactive reserves. Mr. Pfleiderer asked what type of study was performed to obtain target voltages. Mr. Campoli notified the RRS that the correct personnel were not present to answer the question. Mr. Campoli stated that he will ensure that the proper NYISO personnel will be available at the next meeting to answer questions.

### **4.3 Exception modification letter from Rick Gonzales**

Changes previously requested by the RRS have been incorporated into the letter. The letter was presented to the RRS one last time for comment. No additional comments were provided.

### **4.4 TPL-001-1 Transmission Planning Standards**

The NYISO has not established a position on TPL-001-1 as of yet. The TPL combines old standards 1 through 6 and adds new study requirements and reliability standards. The NYISO had two concerns 1). The definition of year one should really be year two, and 2) the annual planning assessment requirement. The NYISO currently performs RNA, light load SS analysis, sensitivity analysis, spare equipment strategy and long term planning analysis to accomplish this. Uncertainty over the BES definition could have a major impact on the above requirements. The NYISO said it will have position by the 19<sup>th</sup> of February. The NYISO does not feel that any of these requirements are required. The RRS was asked to send comments or their position to Mr. Adamson via e-mail.

## **5.0 Committee Reports**

### **5.1 EC**

### **5.2 ICS**

Action items must be complete

### **5.3 RCMS**

The 2010 compliance program is complete.

## **6.0 Next Meeting**

The next RRS meeting (#129) is scheduled for Thursday, March 4, 2010 at 9:30 in the NYSERDA offices located at 17 Columbia Circle, Albany, NY.

Meeting #128 was adjourned at 12:19.