

**Final Minutes
New York State Reliability Council
Reliability Compliance Monitoring Subcommittee**

**Meeting #22 – October 11, 2001 @ 10:00 AM
NYISO Power Control Center, Guilderland, NY**

Members and Alternates

George Loehr, Chairman	Unaffiliated Member
Alan M. Adamson	Consultant
Gregory A. Campoli	NYISO
Robert Ganley	KeySpan Energy
Ron Halsey*	Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.
Jack Alvarez	Consolidated Edison Company of NY
Ralph Rufrano*	New York Power Authority
Edward C. Schrom	New York Public Service Commission
Steven Wokanovicz	New York Power Authority

* Did not attend.

1.0 Introduction

George Loehr thanked everyone for attending and being today was the one month anniversary of the World Trade Center and Washington disaster, asked for a moment of silence for the victims, their families and the rescue workers.

2.0 Approval of RCMS Minutes of Meeting #21 On September 20, 2001

The minutes of the September 20th meeting were approved with changes. The final minutes will be distributed to RCMS members and to Joe Fleury for posting on the NYSRC web site.

3.0 Review of Action Items

Previous action items were reviewed and the list updated to reflect completed action items.

4.0 Review and Brainstorm Session on RCMS' Role and Compliance Program

**4.1 NYSRC and NYISO Agreements, RCMS Scope, and Compliance
Review Policy 4-1**

These documents were reviewed and discussed in much detail by RCMS members.

On page 77, of the NYSRC Reliability Rules Revision 2, Greg Campoli indicated that the definition of Load Shedding has been changed to be in line with the NPCC definition. The term “removing” will be replaced with “disconnecting”. Also the statement, See “order” definition, will be removed.

As part of this review, it was noticed that the RCMS Scope needs to be updated as the name of the subcommittee is defined as CMS and not RCMS. It was also identified that the committee has not exercised responsibility #7 which recommends to the Executive Committee implementation of the dispute resolution process, when appropriate. Also, responsibility #11 had a typo in which RSS should be corrected to RRS. **[AI # 1- AI Adamson to notify J. Fleury of necessary changes]**

As part of Compliance Review Policy 4-1, AI Adamson indicated that RCMS is required to report to the Executive Committee, by Dec. of the prior year, what the Compliance Program will be for the next year. In addition, by Feb. of the Compliance year, to review with the NYISO the Compliance Program.

4.2 2001 Compliance Program – Process, Results, and Lessons Learned

There was much discussion as to the actual Compliance Program process. Some specific topics which resulted from these discussions and will require further discussions are:

1. Establishing a frequency for assessment reviews
2. Levels of Compliance/ Non Compliance – How many levels are required?
3. How often should templates be reviewed and do they need to be posted for open review.

It was agreed that review of the RCMS Compliance Review Process will continue at the November meeting. To assist RCMS members in this review, it was agreed that it would be beneficial to have the list of documents used in the RCMS compliance review process, along with their descriptions and order of flow, available. In addition, a sample compliance template which incorporates a compliance review form also be available. **[AI #2&3 – AI Adamson]**

After having reviewed the Compliance Program, it was agreed that RCMS’ responsibility is not that of an enforcing agent but strictly as a compliance monitoring function.

4.3 2002 Compliance Program – Preliminary Process and Plans

A summary of the Compliance Responsibilities for Rev 2 Reliability Rules were discussed for identifying which rules or measurements should be included in the 2002 Compliance Program. It was agreed that all members should prepare a list of suggested 2002 assessments for discussion at our November meeting.
[AI - #4 All RCMS members]

5.0 RCMS Compliance Reviews

5.1 Monthly NYISO Operating Report (Assessment #7)

Greg Campoli distributed and discussed the September Operating Report. RCMS concluded that the ISO operations during September as reported, were in full compliance with the rules.

George Loehr commented on the presentation given by Con Ed at the NPCC General Meeting in Toronto which addressed the World Trade Center disaster of September 11. He indicated that the reason that Con Ed was able to restore service so quickly was because of their second contingency design criteria.

5.2 Scheduled November Compliance Reviews

The following compliance reviews are scheduled for November:

LSE Capacity Responsibility (#2)

Generation Availability Data: NYISO Procedures (#3)

NYCA Transmission Review (#4) - May not be available till December

System Restoration Plan: NYISO Annual Simulation (#6)

Emergency Operation (#12)

Normal and Emergency Operating Limits (#13)

6.0 Next Meeting

The next meeting is scheduled for November 1, 2001 @ NYISO.