

Base Case Modeling Assumptions for 2012-2013 NYCA IRM Requirement Study

Parameter	2011 Study Modeling Assumptions	Recommended 2012 Study Modeling Assumptions	Basis for Recommended 2012 Assumptions	Model change	Possible Impact
Peak Load	Oct 1 IRM forecast: 32,872 MW for NYCA, 11,463 MW for zone J, and 5414 MW for zone K.	Gold Book forecast used as preliminary load forecast. Oct 1 IRM forecast to be used for final base case.	Forecast based on examination of 2011 weather normalized peaks. Top three external Area peak days aligned with NYCA		Low (+)
Load Shape Model	2002 Load Shape	2002 Load Shape	After evaluating 2010 data, analysis indicates 2002 load shape is an appropriate representation for this analysis.		None
Load Uncertainty Model	Statewide and zonal model updated to reflect current data.	Statewide and zonal model updated to reflect current data.	Method used and accepted by NYISO and ICS based on collected data and input from LIPA, Con Ed, and NYISO (<i>see Attachment A</i>).		None
Solar Resource Modeling	Forecast of 15 MW of total solar capacity, centered on Long Island. <i>See Attachment B-2.</i>	Forecast of 46.7 MW of total solar capacity. <i>See Attachment B-2.</i>	Based on collected hourly solar data during summer Peak Hours (June 1-Aug 31, hours (beginning) 2-5 PM).		Low (+)
Wind Resource Modeling	(1,260 MW) Derived from hourly wind data with average Summer Peak Hour availability factor of approximately 11%. <i>See Attachment B-1.</i>	(z,zzz MW) Derived from hourly wind data with average Summer Peak Hour availability represented by a factor of approximately yy%. <i>See Attachment B-1.</i>	Based on collected hourly wind data. Summer Peak Hour capacity factor based on June 1-Aug 31, hours (beginning) 2-5 PM.		Med(-)

Parameter	2011 Study Modeling Assumptions	Recommended 2012 Study Modeling Assumptions	Basis for Recommended 2012 Assumptions	Model change	Possible Impact
Wind Shape Model	2002 Wind Generation Profile	ICS will determine whether a better shape exists based on NYISO evaluation.	Additional years of wind shapes along with production data will be studied.	Std	Med(-)
Existing Generating Unit Capacities	Updated DMNC test values. Use the minimum of DMNC or CRIS values.	Updated DMNC test values. Use the minimum of DMNC or CRIS values.	2011 Gold Book units		Low (-)
Proposed New Units	Those listed on <i>Attachment B</i> .	Those listed on <i>Attachment B</i> .	Units built since the 2011 Gold Book and those non-renewable units with Interconnection agreements signed by August 1 st . Renewables based on RPS agreements and ICS input.		Low (-)
Retirements	Energy Systems North East (ESNE) retirement of 74.5 MW from zone A	Glennwood ST04 (116 MW) & ST05 (113.2 MW), Far Rockaway ST04 (105 MW), and Barrett 07 (17 MW)	Owners of these plants have notified the PSC of their intention to retire these units.		Low(-)
Forced & Partial Outage Rates	5-year (2005-09) GADS data. (Those units with less than five years data could use available representative data.)	5-year (2006-10) GADS data. (Those units with less than five years data could use available representative data.)	Most recent 5-year period. (<i>see Attachments C and C-1</i>) Includes proxy data for unit(s) that are deemed suspect as part of the GADS screening process.		Low(+)
EFORD	Sensitivity on EFORD “True-up” performed.	APA paper indicates an acceptable methodology to develop EFORD transition rates based on GADS data.	Sensitivity using Associated Power Analysts (APA) methodology. New Model will be used in 2013 IRM Base Case.	Non-std	
Planned Outages	Based on schedules received by NYISO & adjusted for	Based on schedules received by NYISO & adjusted for	Updated schedules.		?

Parameter	2011 Study Modeling Assumptions	Recommended 2012 Study Modeling Assumptions	Basis for Recommended 2012 Assumptions	Model change	Possible Impact
	history.	history.			
Summer Maintenance	Use 150 MW after reviewing last year’s data.	Use yyyy MW after reviewing last year’s data.	Review of most recent data.		?
Combustion Turbines Ambient Derate	Derate based on provided temperature correction curves.	Derate based on provided temperature correction curves.	Operational history indicates derates in line with manufacturer’s curves.		Low (+)
Environmental Impacts	No impact on unit availability due to RGGI . The base case assumes that any forthcoming NOx RACT rule will not require compliance by summer 2011.	Highly likely impacts to be modeled. Less likely studied as sensitivity. Examination of permit non-renewal due to NAAQS impacts on some SCRs and small diesels.	Will model units affected by CATR in 2012.	Std.	?
Non-NYPA Hydro Capacity Modeling	45% derating.	45% derating.	No Change		None
Special Case Resources	2498 MW (Aug 11) based on NYISO growth rate forecast. Monthly variation based on historical experience.	bbbb MW (Jul 12) based on NYISO growth rate forecast. Monthly variation based on historical experience.	Those sold for the program, discounted to historic availability. Sensitivity. <i>See SCR determinations in Attachment F.</i>	Non-Std.	?
EDRP Resources	260 MW registered; modeled as 172 MW in July and Aug and proportional to monthly peak load in other months. Limit to 5 calls per month.	cccc MW registered; modeled as ddd MW in July and Aug and proportional to monthly peak load in other months. Limit to 5 calls per month.	Those registered for the program, discounted to historic availability. (66% overall ¹) Summer values calculated from 2011 July registrations.		?

¹ The 66% value is from the January 16th, 2007 NYISO filing to FERC.

Parameter	2011 Study Modeling Assumptions	Recommended 2012 Study Modeling Assumptions	Basis for Recommended 2012 Assumptions	Model change	Possible Impact
External Capacity - Purchases	Grandfathered amounts of 50 MW from NE, 37 MW from PJM, and 1,090 MW from Quebec modeled as actual contracts on border interfaces. Also, 1,043 MW modeled as de-ration on the upstate ties to PJM.	Grandfathered amounts of 50 MW from NE, 1080 MW from PJM. All contracts modeled as equivalent contracts.	Equivalent contracts do not require re-adjustment of externals areas per Policy 5.		
Capacity - Sales	In addition to the long term firm sales of 303 MW (nominal value), include known firm contracts of 716 MW as a result of NE FCM market auctions. Contracts modeled on border interfaces.	Long term firm sales of 303 MW (nominal value).	Possible sensitivity using NE FCM values for 2012		Low (+)
Capacity Wheels-through	None modeled. A sensitivity case may be run.	None modeled. A sensitivity case may be run.	The ISO tariff is silent about capacity wheels through NYCA.		None
EOPs (other than SCR and EDRP)	737 MW of non-SCR/EDRP MWs.	yyy MW of non-SCR/EDRP MWs.	Based on TO information, measured data, and NYISO forecasts. <i>See Attachment D.</i>		?
Interface Limits	Based on 2010 Operating Study, 2010 Operations Engineering Voltage Studies, 2010 Comprehensive Planning	All changes viewed and commented on by TPAS.	Based on 2011 Operating Study, 2011 Operations Engineering Voltage Studies, 2011 Comprehensive Planning		



Parameter	2011 Study Modeling Assumptions	Recommended 2012 Study Modeling Assumptions	Basis for Recommended 2012 Assumptions	Model change	Possible Impact
	Process, and additional analyses including interregional planning initiatives. Operation of M29 Line (improvement in transfer from zone I to zone J by 350MW).		Process, and additional analyses including interregional planning initiatives. <i>See Attachments E, E-1, and E-2.</i>		
New Transmission Capability	Upgrade on Northport Norwalk Cable (NNC) line to 428 MW from 286 MW.	None Identified.	Based on TO provided models and NYISO review.		
Transmission Cable Forced Outage Rate	All existing Cable EFORs updated on LI and NYC to reflect 5 year history.	All existing Cable EFORs updated on LI and NYC to reflect 5 year history.	Based on TO analysis.		
Unforced Capacity Deliverability Rights (UDR)	No new projected UDRs	No new projected UDRs	Contracted amounts of capacity are confidential and are included as capacity internal to NYCA.		
Model Version	Version 3.01	Version 3.11	Per testing and recommendation by ICS.		None
Outside World Area Models	Single Area representations for Ontario and Quebec. Four zones modeled for PJM. Thirteen zones modeled for New England.	Single Area representations for Ontario and Quebec. Four zones modeled for PJM. Thirteen zones modeled for New England.	The load and capacity data is provided by the neighboring Areas. This updated data may then be adjusted as described in Policy 5.		?
Reserve Sharing between Areas	All Control Areas have indicated that they will share reserves equally among all.	All NPCC Control Areas have indicated that they will share reserves equally among all.	Per NPCC CP-8 working group assumption.		None

Attachment A NYCA Load Forecast Uncertainty

2011 and 2012 LFU Models

2011 Load Forecast Uncertainty Models				
Multiplier	Zones H&I	Con Ed (J)	LIPA (K)	Zones A-G
0.0062	1.1111	1.0594	1.1570	1.1105
0.0606	1.0771	1.0464	1.1290	1.0932
0.2417	1.0306	1.0198	1.0650	1.0506
0.3830	0.9755	0.9832	1.0000	1.0000
0.2417	0.9154	0.9399	0.9350	0.9453
0.0606	0.8533	0.8927	0.8710	0.8901
0.0062	0.7921	0.8441	0.8430	0.8619

2012 Load Forecast Uncertainty Models				
Multiplier	Zones H&I	Con Ed (J)	LIPA (K)	Zones A-G
0.0062	1.1181	1.0549	1.1448	1.1105
0.0606	1.0801	1.0437	1.1171	1.0932
0.2417	1.0312	1.0189	1.0585	1.0506
0.3830	0.9753	0.9839	1.0000	1.0000
0.2417	0.9157	0.9422	0.9415	0.9453
0.0606	0.8554	0.8966	0.8829	0.8901
0.0062	0.7968	0.8495	0.8552	0.8619



Attachment B
List² of Proposed Units
To be in-service by summer of 2012

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>IS Date</u>	<u>Zone</u>	<u>MW</u>	
New Generation				
	Astoria Energy II	5/11	J	576
	Bayonne Energy Center	5/12	J	500
Re-ratings				
	South Pier Improvement	5/12	J	105

² The list on this page does not show wind and solar units which are presented on Attachments B-1, and B-2, respectively.

Attachment B1

Renewable Generating Projects (Wind) for Inclusion in the 2012-2013 Installed Reserve Margin Study

Facility Name	Owner / Developer	Zone	Connecting Transmission Owner	NYISO Interconnection Study Queue Project Number	Projected/ Actual In-Service Date	Current Status	Existing Wind Capacity (MW)	New Wind Capacity for 2012 IRM (MW)	Total Wind Capacity for 2012 IRM (MW)
Steel Wind	Constellation Power	A	National Grid		2007 Jan	Operating	20.0		20.0
Bliss Wind Power	Noble Bliss Windpark, LLC	A	Village of Arcade	173	2008 May	Operating	100.5		100.5
Canandaigua Wind Power	Canandaigua Power Partners, LLC	C	NYSEG	135&199	2008 Jun	Operating	125.0		125.0
Cody Road	Green Power	C	National Grid	180A	2011 Dec			10.0	10.0
Hardscrabble Wind ¹	Hardscrabble Wind Power, LLC	C	National Grid	156	2011 Sept	Operating	74.0		74.0
Howard Wind	Howard Wind, LLC	C	NYSEG	182	2011 Dec			62.5	62.5
Wethersfield Wind Power	Noble Wethersfield Windpark, LLC	C	NYSEG	177	2008 Dec	Operating	126.0		126.0
High Sheldon Wind Farm	Sheldon Energy, LLC.	C	NYSEG	144	2009 Feb	Operating	112.5		112.5
Altona Wind Power	Noble Altona Windpark, LLC	D	NYPA	174	2008 Sept	Operating	97.5		97.5
Chateaugay Wind Power	Noble Chateaugay Windpark, LLC	D	NYPA	214	2008 Sept	Operating	106.5		106.5
Clinton Wind Power	Noble Clinton Windpark, LLC	D	NYPA	172 & 211	2008 May	Operating	100.5		100.5
Ellenburg Windpark	Noble Ellenburg Windpark, LLC	D	NYPA	175	2008 May	Operating	81.0		81.0
Munnsville	Coral Power	E	NYSEG	127A	2007 Aug	Operating	34.5		34.5
Maple Ridge 1	Flat Rock Windpower, LLC	E	National Grid	171	2006 Feb	Operating	231.0		231.0
Maple Ridge 2	Flat Rock Windpower, LLC	E	National Grid	171	2006 Feb	Operating	90.7		90.7
Madison Wind Power	Madison Windpower, LLC	E	NYSEG	N/A	2000 Sept	Operating	11.5		11.5
Allegany Wind	Allegany Wind, LLC	A	National Grid	237	2011 Oct			72.5	72.5
TOTAL CAPACITY - ALL CATEGORIES							1,311.2	145.0	1,456.2


1. Hardscrabble Wind has been called Fairfield Wind, after the town that it is in.

Attachment B-2
List of Solar proposed Units
To be in-service by summer of 2012

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>IS Date</u>	<u>Zone</u>	<u>MW</u>
BP Solar	11/11	K	32.0
Enxco	5/12	K	14.5

DRAFT – for ICS discussion purposes only
Revision V4 – May 31, 2011

Attachment C



Attachment C-1




Figure 1 removes units that have retired from all five years of each affected point. These graphs represent thermal unit performance only.


Attachment D

Emergency Operating Procedures

Step	Procedure	Effect	2011 MW Value	2012 MW Value
1	Special Case Resources	Load relief	2498 MW (representing the amount sold)	
2	Emergency Demand Response Program	Load relief	260 MW	
3	5% manual voltage Reduction	Load relief	71 MW	Same
4	Thirty-minute reserve to zero	Allow operating reserve to decrease to largest unit capacity (10-minute reserve)	600 MW	600 MW
5	5% remote voltage reduction	Load relief	478 MW	Same
6	Voluntary industrial curtailment	Load relief	100 MW	Same
7	General public appeals	Load relief	88 MW	Same
8	Emergency Purchases	Increase capacity	Varies	Varies
9	Ten-minute reserve to zero	Allow 10-minute reserve to decrease to zero	1200 MW	1200 MW
10	Customer disconnections	Load relief	As needed	As needed


Transmission System Representation for 2012 IRM Study - Summer Emergency Ratings (MW)

**Attachment E
 New York Control
 Area (NYCA)
 June 1, 2011**



Transmission System Representation for 2012 IRM Study - Summer Emergency Ratings (MW)


Attachment E-1
2012 PJM-SENY MARS Model
 June 1, 2011



$(PJM\ East\ to\ RECO) + (J2\ to\ J) + (PJM\ East\ to\ J3) = 2000$

Transmission System Representation for 2012 IRM Study - Summer Emergency Ratings (MW) – June 1, 2011

Assumed Ratings (MW) from the 2011 New England Review of Resource Adequacy -----Not yet revised



DRAFT – for ICS discussion purposes only
Revision V4 – May 31, 2011

Attachment F
SCR Determinations