

New York State Reliability Council - Installed Capacity Subcommittee

Action Items List **52**

[Bold date denotes Action Item has been completed]

No	Action Item	Responsible Individual(s)	Sched./ Actual Comp. Dates
41-1	Provide White Paper Re: mechanism to use 2-3 year smoothing to set the IRM when considering the statistical significance of the modeling assumptions that includes sensitivities	Beck	6-30-04
42-1	Request GE to evaluate "study Year" issue in the MARS program and provide clarification on the database transcription impact	Drake / GE	9-1-04
42-2	Review and Report on the top 10 days with the highest load and impacts to Maintenance and Planned Outages.	Vitale	8-2-04
42-3	Provide impact to 2005 IRM model when the updated load and capacities of PJM and NE-ISO are modeled. MADE REDUNDANT BY AI # 49-3	Drake	May 2005
42-4	Read NYISO White Paper and provide Comments	ALL	7-2-04
42-5	Provide a draft on the LCR process	Adamson	8-2-04
43-1	Revise NYISO DMNC White Paper	Adams/Drake	8-5-04
43-2	Develop write-up on ICS advisory role	Jeremko	8-5-04
43-3	Develop list of sensitivities with probabilities	ALL TO's	9-1-04
44-1	Provide comparison of SCRs and EDRPs by zone in last year's IRM study and in this year's IRM study	Drake	9-2-04
44-2	Re-run the DMNC derate with the actual derated generating units	Drake	9-15-04
44-3	Run sensitivity case of removing all intrastate transmission limits	Drake	9-15-04
44-4	Run sensitivity case of zero forced outage rates on all intrastate transmission	Drake	9-15-04
44-5	Prepare work scope for GE to develop a methodology to concurrently determine IRM and LCR on a risk-adjusted basis	Dahl	10-5-04
44-6	Revise IRM/LCR White Paper	Adamson	1-31-05
45-1	Rerun the EDRP case	Drake	10-7-04
45-2	Re-format Table 1 showing comparison of this year's IRM base case with last year's IRM base case	Adamson	10-7-04
45-3	Prepare a draft of the Appendices to IRM Report	Vitale	10-15-04
45-4	Prepare a draft of the body of the IRM Report	Adamson	10-13-04
45-5	Prepare a draft of the advisory memo from ICS to EC	Jeremko	10-14-04
45-6	GE to develop a risk-adjusted IRM/LCR methodology	Dahl/Drake/ GE	11-2-04
46-1	Finalize a complete draft of the IRM Report for EC review	Adamson	11-6-04
46-2	Check the "without planned units for 2005" case and re-run the case if necessary	Drake	11-10-04
46-3	Review and modify as necessary the discussion on the emergency demand response programs (EDRP) in Appendix A	Drake	11-6-04
46-4	Prepare summary of the supporting positions for both the 17.5% recommended IRM and the 18% recommended IRM	Jeremko	11-8-04
47-1	Send final draft of the full IRM Report to the EC for their approval	Adamson	12-2-04
47-2	Prepare procedure to incorporate GE's risk-adjusted methodology in the determination of the NYC and LI locational capacity requirements	Drake	12-21-04
47-3	Send EC a summary of 11/18/04 NEPOOL reliability workshop	Vitale	12-2-04
48-1	Check on how PJM's Reliability Pricing Model incorporates fuel availability/ diversity	Jeremko	4-6-05
48-2	Using the unconstrained case, check to see if adding 100 MW of capacity to zone D (upstate) would have the same LOLE as in adding 100 MW of capacity to zone K (downstate)	Drake	2-2-05

48-3	Evaluate the impact of removing upstate capacity on the IRM	Drake	2-2-05
48-4	Calculate the LCR/IRM curve	Drake	1-24-05
49-1	Draft white paper on the Cedars issue	Franey/ Adamson/ Dahl	4-15-05
49-2	Run IRM case that adjusts only the load in zones J and K until a NYCA 0.1 LOLE is reached	Drake	3-2-05
49-3	Work with GE to update the outside world model	Drake	May 2005
49-4	Draft white paper on the fuel availability issue	Dahl	3-2-05
49-5	Prepare list of coal unit retirements	Schrom	2-7-05
49-6	Assess the impact of the announced coal retirements using the 2005 Base IRM Case	Drake	4-6-05
49-7	Check the summer 2004 data to determine whether or not the 711 derate needs to be updated	Drake	May 2005
49-8	Prepare a summary of the modeling and study assumption issues, including ICS actions	Adamson	2-9-05
50-1	Re-run 2005 Base IRM Case without the new Poletti unit	Drake	4-6-05
50-2	Develop an LCR vs. IRM curve for each of the three methodologies: (a) current IRM methodology (adjust load in all zones), (b) current LCR methodology (keep zones J and K at forecasted loads), (c) adjust capacity and keep load in all zones at forecasted levels	Drake	5-4-05
50-3	Discuss the LCR vs. IRM tradeoffs for each of the methods of anchoring the LCRs	Dahl	4-6-05
51-1	Provide historical scheduled maintenance outage data	Drake	6-1-05
51-2	Work with GE to develop a work scope for the Monte Carlo Error Range Analysis	Drake	6-1-05
51-3	Review and update as required the Long Island and Con Edison Load Uncertainty Models	Dahl / Look	6-1-05
51-4	Review and update as required the SCRs and EDRPs assumptions	Breindenbaugh	6-1-05
51-5	Assess how to model PSEG-Con Edison Wheel Protocol	Adams	6-1-05
51-6	Review and update as required the Long Island and New York City transmission cable forced outage rates	Dahl / Look	6-1-05
51-7	Review and update as required the transmission topology	Lamanna	6-1-05
51-8	Remove winter capacity in MARS until the LOLE changes	Drake	5-4-05
52-1	Assess the impact of Cedars using the "elevated load" IRM/LCR methodology in one case and using the "forecasted load" IRM/LCR methodology in another case	Drake	5-20-05
52-2	Develop the pros and cons for the various LCR/IRM methodologies and anchoring methods	All	5-20-05
52-3	Include the PJM EOPs in the outside world model and see it affects the NYCA IRM	Drake	6-1-05
52-4	Provide list of new units expected to be available by summer 2006	All	6-1-05
52-5	Prepare a horizon year study scope	Drake	6-1-05