#### DOE Project Controlled System Separation Study

Schedule and Status

# Schedule

- Enernex is working on a combination Task #2/Task #3 report due April 1
  - Task #2 is to develop criteria and control algorithms that are able to determine the need/trigger events for system separation and the interfaces where that should occur
  - Task #3 is to identify interfaces for system separation examining things such as coherent generation groups

# Schedule

- Next step after combination Task #2/Task #3 report is to complete a combination Task #4/Task #5 report due June 1
  - Task #4 is to determine appropriate locations for Dynamic Data Sources (PMU, DFR, etc.) to implement CSS
  - Task #5 is to identify the timing for when the CSS scheme would need to operate

# Status

- Enernex issued a draft Task #2 report on January 27th
- They examined an approach to use Transient Energy Function (TEF) to explain the mechanism of generator separation
- Spectral Analysis-Based Method Validation was tested using the Power Spectrum Density (PSD) and Cross Spectrum Density (CSD) to determine generator coherency

# Impact of Disturbance Type/Location to Coherent Groups



#### Enernex is now looking into Separation Indicators

- Look at angle, velocity of angle and acceleration of angle at a bus
- Look at angle, velocity of angle and acceleration of angle between buses

# Trajectory Comparison

- Examine a trajectory comparison between these values
  - For example
    - Bus velocity versus Bus angle
    - Bus acceleration versus Bus velocity
    - Delta bus velocity versus delta bus angle
    - Delta bus acceleration versus delta bus angle

#### **Bus Value Trajectory**



#### Delta Bus Value Trajectory



#### **Convergent Attractor for Stable Case**



# Observation

- For a stable case, the pre-fault equilibrium will reach a new equilibrium following a trajectory of eccentric periodic orbits. The moving direction of the trajectory is to minimize the distance between the point on the trajectory and the new equilibrium.
- An attractor can be observed in the trajectory.
- A correlation coefficient can be calculated between buses

#### **Comprehensive Separation Indicators**

- Assuming two monitoring locations and monitored signals are:  $\delta_1$ ,  $\omega_1$ ,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\delta_2$ ,  $\omega_2$ ,  $\alpha_2$ 
  - Step 1:  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are constant -> normal
  - Step 2: Either  $\alpha_1$  or  $\alpha_2$  increases, or  $\Delta \alpha_{12}$  increases -> warning 1
  - Step 3: Either  $\omega_1$  or  $\omega_2$  increases, or  $\Delta \omega_{12}$  increases -> warning 2
  - Step 4:  $\Delta \delta_{12} > 40^{\circ} \rightarrow$  warning 3 and launch correlation analysis between  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  and trajectory analysis for  $\Delta \omega_{12}$  vs.  $\Delta \alpha_{12}$
  - Step 5: In a 0.5 second window, compute correlation coefficient and attractors in the trajectory
  - Step 6: Refer to the Look-up Table in the next slide

# Look up table

Indicator	Event
Corrcoef > 0.5, convergent attractor	Coherent and stable
Corrcoef > 0.7, divergent attractor	Coherent but unstable
0 < Correcoef < 0.7, convergent attractor	Out-of-phase oscillation (0 - 90°) and stable
0 < Correcoef < 0.7, divergent attractor	Out-of-phase oscillation (0 - 90°) but unstable
Correcoef < 0, convergent attractor (?)	Transient OOS
Correcoef < 0, non-attractor	Separation