## **Final Minutes**

# **New York State Reliability Council**

# **Installed Capacity Subcommittee**

# Meeting #184 – June 1, 2016

# **NYISO Corporate Center, Rensselaer NY**

## Attendees

		Present	Pnone	
Members / Alternates:				
	Rich Wright (CHG&E)			
	Ruby Chan (CHG&E)			
	Dmitriy Kiselev (Con Edison)			
	Howard Kosel (Con Edison)			
	Sanderson Chery (Con Edison)			
	Khatune Zannat (PSEG LI)			
	Mariann Wilczek(PSEG LI), ICS Vice Chair/Secretary			
	Syed Ahmed (National Grid)			
	Bart Franey (National Grid)			
	Richard Brophy (NYSEG-RGE)			
	John Tigue (NYSEG-RGE)			
	Bob Boyle (NYPA), ICS Chair			
	Tim Lundin (NYPA)			
	Mark Younger (Hudson Energy Economics, LLC – Wholesale Sellers)			
	Mark Cordeiro (PLM, Inc – Municipals & Electric Coops)			
	Mike Mager (Couch White – Large Consumers)			
Advise	ers/Non-member Participants:			
	Al Adamson (Consultant)			
	John Adams (Consultant)			
	Ricardo Galarza (PSM Consulting)			

Scott Leuthauser (HQUS)
Kelli Joseph (NRG)
Ed Schrom (NYDPS)
Alan Ackerman (CES)
Richard Gell (Ontario Power Generation)
Matt Schwall (IPPNY)
Greg Drake (NYISO)
Frank Ciani (NYISO)
Dana Walters (NYISO)
Josh Boles (NYISO)
Steve Lemme (NYISO)
Laura Popa (NYISO)
Kai Jiang (NYISO)
Carl Patka (NYISO)
Brian Hodgdon (NYISO)
Wes Yeomans (NYISO)
Henry Chao (NYISO)
David Allen (NYISO)
Jesse Mills (NYISO)
Nick Whitney (NYISO)
Bill Lamanna (NYISO)
Stephanie King (NYISO)

## 1. Introduction and Request for Additional Agenda Items

Chairman Boyle called the NYSRC Installed Capacity Subcommittee meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. on June 1, 2016. There were no requests for additional items.

## 2. Approval of Minutes for Meeting #183

The meeting minutes were finalized with edits for clarification proposed by Mr. Drake and Mr. Younger for sections 4.4 and 6.

### 3. Review of Action Items List

Chairman Boyle did not review the action item list as he noted the items were listed as separate agenda items that will be covered in the meeting.

#### 4. Status of Studies and White Papers for 2017 IRM Study

### 4.1. Emergency Assistance Model – Status Update

Mr. Drake indicated the study was not ready for today's meeting but would be provided to the ICS a week before the June 29<sup>th</sup> meeting. The study is currently in the internal NYISO review process. Mr. Drake noted the NYISO would be providing a recommendation as to the amount of emergency assistance that would be modeled.

### 4.2. PJM Model – (Four-Bubble versus Five-Bubble)White Paper Approval

The ICS reviewed the redline version of the white paper. Mr. Younger expressed concerns that the NYISO's conclusions were based on a 2016 IRM Study base case where the interface limit was 2,000 MW between PJM and SENY and there was no case studied where the interface limit was at 3,075 MW. Mr. Younger added that a caveat should be noted that the study was performed at a 2,000 MW interface limit. Mr. Walters didn't think a statement was needed but indicated since it was factual, it could be added. Mr. Adamson felt the four versus five-bubble model issue was independent of the interface capability. Mr. Ahmed agreed with Mr. Adamson and added that NPCC had already been using the fivebubble representation of PJM for over a year. Mr. Walters noted that PJM does not support the fourbubble model. Mr. Adamson noted the new transmission topology could be used in the parametric study. Mr. Younger and Ms. Joseph continued to express concerns that the analysis was incomplete as it was not performed at the 3,075 MW interface limit and that a qualifier was needed stating that the analysis was performed at the 2,000 MW PJM interface limit. The NYISO did not agree this was necessary throughout the remainder of the white paper as the 2016 IRM final base case that is referenced has many other assumptions that are not listed as well as the 2,000 MW PJM interface limit. Chairman Boyle polled the ICS members on the use of the five-bubble model. The Transmission Owners and consultants (Mr. Adams and Mr. Adamson) approved the white paper with agreed changes, including use of the five-bubble model. The supply sector abstained. There was no load sector representative in attendance so an abstention was noted on their behalf. The recommendation that the five-bubble topology be used in the 2017 IRM base case model was approved by the ICS.

## 4.3. SCR Model – Final Approval of Method for Determining SCR Values

Chairman Boyle indicated that he had brought the three options from the May 4<sup>th</sup> ICS meeting to the Executive Committee (EC). The EC elected to go with the NYISO amended position. The NYISO will include five years of event data for all called hours and add all test data accumulated even during years where there were no events. When event data is collected, the oldest event data will be replaced with the new data, always having five years of event data.

## 4.4. Multiple Year Wind Shape Model – White Paper Approval

Mr. Ciani indicated that the changes Mr. Adamson had noted were incorporated into the documents. There was no additional discussion. The white paper was approved by ICS along with the recommendation to use the multiple year wind shape model as part of the 2017 IRM study base case.

#### 4.5. Policy 5 Revision (Retirements & Special Sensitivity Cases)

Mr. Hodgdon reviewed the changes to Section 3.5.2 and Appendix C. Appendix C provides guidance when generating units should be included in the IRM base case. Chairman Boyle and Mr. Adamson indicated there were undefined terms in the document as well as items that referred to the NYISO tariffs. The desire was to have it a standalone document. The NYISO was asked to reorder the language for enhanced clarity and to develop a glossary of new terms that were added to the document. Mr. Adamson and Mr. Adams will be providing review of the document following NYISO staff revisions to Appendix C to ensure its consistency with NYSRC definitions. Mr. Adamson noted that the document is due to be presented to the EC in July with ICS final review and approval scheduled for the June 29<sup>th</sup> ICS meeting.

#### 4.6. Transmission Topology

#### 4.6.1. Con Edison – PSEG Wheel

Mr. Lamanna presented a draft topology depicting the cancellation of the PSEG/Con Edison Wheel effective for summer 2017. Mr. Chao noted that the NYISO is still working with PJM, Con Edison and PSEG to assess the amount of flow that is available over the interfaces. There is going to be a new group limit since they will not be able to control individual line flow. He added that in northeastern PSEG there are transmission issues and they will no longer be able to support the limit. The amount of emergency assistance over the line is still in question. The final topology will be discussed at the June 22<sup>nd</sup> ESPWG.

## 5. 2017 IRM Study Assumptions Matrix

Mr. Drake noted there were no changes to the matrix from the last meeting. A request was made that

the usual column be added to the matrix showing the estimated impact to the IRM (AI#184-1). Mr.

Adamson noted that the matrix will need approval at the June 29th ICS meeting for a July EC approval.

Mr. Drake noted that the SCR values will be available the third week of June with the EOPs available in

the middle of June.

6. Status Reports:

6.1. External Control Area MOUs

Mr. Patka noted there were no items to be updated. Mr. Gell noted that New York and Ontario have a

first draft of an MOU with a current plan to have Ontario imports to be available in September for the

Winter 2016/2017 capacity market.

**6.2.** Alternative Methodologies for Determining LCRs

Mr. Allen reported that the optimization tool has been built. There are no results yet as GE is still

tweaking the tool.

6.2.1. 2015 State of the Market Report for the NYISO Markets

Mr. Adamson raised concerns over the SOM recommendation #1 where the example reduces IRM as a

result of an economic analysis. He noted this was in contrast to the agreement that the EC approved

IRM would remain fixed. Mr. Chao indicated that the MMU(Potomac Economics) can propose any

recommendation; however, if the NYISO is to take an action on it, they would bring it to the NYSRC first.

Chairman Boyle indicated the MMU has expressed an interest in coming to speak to the ICS. He noted

that the MMU was proposing to work within the NYSRC rules and potentially performing a reallocation

of costs without changing the LCRs.

7. Additional Agenda Items

No requests were made for additional items.

Secretary: Mariann Wilczek (PSEG LI)

Upcoming meetings:

5

Meeting 185, Wednesday, June 29<sup>th</sup> at NYISO Corporate Center

Meeting 186, Wednesday, August 3<sup>rd</sup> at NYISO Corporate Center

Teleconference, Monday, August 15<sup>th</sup>

Meeting 187, Tuesday, August 30<sup>th</sup> at NYISO Corporate Center

Meeting 188, Wednesday, October 5<sup>th</sup> at NYISO Corporate Center

Meeting 189, Wednesday, November 2<sup>nd</sup> at NYISO Corporate Center

Meeting 190, Monday, November 28<sup>th</sup> at NYISO Corporate Center