Draft Minutes

New York State Reliability Council - Installed Capacity Subcommittee (ICS) Meeting #264 – August 22, 2022 Microsoft Teams

Attendees	Present	Phone
Members / Alternates:		
Brian Shanahan (National Grid) ICS Chair		
Noor Leghari (NYSEG/RG&E) ICS Vice Chair / Secretary	<u>\</u>	
Rich Bolbrock (Unaffiliated)		
Clay Burns (National Grid)		
Ruby Chan (CHG&E)		
Sanderson Chery (Con Edison)		
John Cordi (NYPA)		
Ayman Elkasrawy (NYSEG/RG&E)		
Howard Kosel (Con Edison)		
Mike Mager (MI)		
Chris Wentlent (MEUA)		
Mark Younger (Hudson Economics)		
Khatune Zannat (PSEG LI)		
Advisers/Non-member Participants:		
Alan Ackerman (CES)		
John Adams (ICS Consultant)		
David Allen (NYISO)		
Leen Almadani (CHG&E)		
Josh Boles (NYISO)		
Andrea Calo (CES)		
Ryan Carlson (NYISO)		
Jie Chen (Potomac)	<u>\</u>	
Grant Flagler (Con Ed Energy)	<u>\</u>	
Kenneth Galarneau (Rise Light & Power)	<u>\</u>	
Ricardo Galarza (PSM Consulting)		
Karl Hofer (Con Edison)	<u>\</u>	
Yvonne Huang (NYISO)	\square	

Syeda Lubna (NYISO)
Gary Jordon (ICS Consultant)
Scott Leuthauser (HQUS)
Tim Lundin (LS Power)
Randy Monica Jr. (DPS)
Otito Onwuzurike (NYISO)
Ben O'Rourke (NYISO)
Kevin Osse (NYISO)
Ben Cohen (NYISO)
Max Schuler (NYISO)
Lucas Carr (Unknown)
Richard Bratton (Unknown)

1. Roll Call - N. Leghari

Roll call was conducted.

2. Introduction and Request for Additional Agenda Items - B. Shanahan

• No agenda items

3. Preliminary Base Case Parametric Results Update (Parametric & Tan45 Results) - R. Carlson

- Reviewed parametric results Kevin Osse
 - 1. Load forecast update
 - 2. Peaker deactivation case
- M. Younger Suggested last year might be appropriate to do Tan45 as parametric steps.
- B. Shanahan Since load is decreasing reliability is increasing (New LFU need less capacity)
- Y. Huang This is EC question regarding zone K margin changes in response of Load Forecast update. Since load forecast is denominator of margin calculation. Parametric adjustment MW allocations between different zones driven by low distribution zone k and other zone and also prior case. This is interim results.
- Two new cases were discussed:
 - 1. Run of River Shape Updates
 - 2.Non-SCR EOPs
- M. Mager Policy 5, how it increased the IRM?
- Y. Huang Gave explanation on how policy 5 works.
- M. Younger- explains the rationale that we do not want our requirement to be affected by external areas access
- Policy 5 not done by NYISO discretion. Capacity adjustment is done based on zonal allocation.
 Zonal allocation is based on access capacity.
- 2023-2024 IRM PBC Tan45 Otito Onwuzurike

4. Sensitivity #9 & #10 Updates - R. Carlson

Sensitivity #9 Y49 (Transfer limit)

- Currently in the PBC, Y49 is in service with the historic outage rate from the past 5 years history.
- Sensitivity 10 models upgraded Y49.
- M. Younger What is the impact of the outage extended during the period and how long NYISO will assume the outage is extended.
- R. Carlson Proposing to do it for entire summer period.

•

Sensitivity #10 Y49 new/alternate cable transition rate

- Use Y50 transition rate and apply it to Y49.
- Still use the same 5-year period.
- M. Younger conclusion of SRC meeting was that this was not relevant consideration for IRM. Why it is done as IRM study.
- Y. Huang There was no decision made in EC to not run it.
- In order to put it in the base case we have to make changes to policy 5. Intent is to lets run and see how big impact it has.
- M. Mager Bring M. Younger consideration back to EC.
- Khatune Zannat Don't see any cons for not including in the IRM study.
- B. Shanahan Would it be possible in determining changing policy 5 would NYISO be able to look back in future 2-year average compared to sensitivity we are doing now to see if policy 5 needs to be changed.
- Y. Huang For this year NYISO can conduct sensitivity of different outage rate as a information for broader Policy 5 consideration for Y49 issue. Locking at sensitivity and have a discussion at ICS or EC on how to perceive looking at Policy 5 path forward.
- B. Shanahan will bring this to EC whether we include this in the IRM report this year or not but will keep on doing it irrespective of that.

5. Additional Agenda Items

- Action Item 262-1 Updated: July EC Action Item: Resolve cable outage rate assumptions to be used for Sensitivity #9, #10 related to the Y49 sensitivities...existing 5 yr avg or consider a new cable rate, or generic outage rate, if available B. Shanahan It was due today and is complete
- Action Item 263-1 Provide increased granularity for Zone J EFORd's to help explain large LCR change related to Peaker Deactivations. Pre EC meeting action item. B. Shanahan will include that in the next EC meeting.
- Y. Huang 1. Based on past few years send regression data to ConEd and Long Island to double check QAQC process as well as PBC database. 2. NYISO data base to GE for QAQC analysis as well. Due prior to August 30th meeting approving in support of preliminary base case.
- B. Shanahan Milestone schedule. Next meeting ICS approves Parametric Results Table 6.1.
 IC approves preliminary base case IRM. EC review Preliminary Base Case IRM and Parametric results.
- M. Younger What is the ISO plan to have New Load Shape sensitivity process complete. And whether that is included in final base case. Timeline might be an issue.
- Y. Huang Start the modelling shape this week. Provide the result after the October meeting. Recommendation in before November meeting. Mid-September brief call to look at the results and present everything in October meeting.
- September 14th tentative date for results.

Next Meeting: Meeting #265 – Tuesday, August 30th, 2022, 10:00 am – NYISO KCC