

Final Minutes
New York State Reliability Council, L.L.C. (NYSRC)
Executive Committee
Meeting No. 78 – October 14, 2005
Albany Country Club, Voorheesville, NY.

Members and Alternates:

Bruce B. Ellsworth	Unaffiliated Member – Chairman
Thomas J. Gentile	National Grid – Vice Chairman
Bart Franey	National Grid –Alternate Member*
Wes Yeomans	National Grid –Alternate Member*
Richard J. Bolbrock	Long Island Power Authority
Curt Dahl	LIPA – Alternate Member – ICS Chairman
William H. Clagett	Unaffiliated Member
Timothy R. Bush	Muni. & Elec. Cooperative Sector – Alternate Member
George C. Loehr	Unaffiliated Member, RCMS Chairman
Glenn Haake, Esq.	IPPNY (Wholesale Seller Sector)
George E. Smith	Unaffiliated Member
Joseph C. Fleury	New York State Electric & Gas/Rochester Gas & Electric
Thomas C. Duffy	Central Hudson Gas & Electric
Mayer Sasson	Consolidated Edison Co. of NY, Inc.

Others:

Alan M. Adamson	Consultant & Treasurer
John M. Adams	New York Independent System Operator (NYISO)
P. L. Gioia, Esq.	LeBoeuf Lamb Greene & MacRae, LLP - Council
R. E. Clayton	Electric Power Resources, LLC – RRS Chairman
Don Raymond	Executive Secretary
Steve Jeremko	New York State Electric & Gas/Rochester Gas & Electric – Phone*
Steven Wokanovicz	New York Power Authority - Phone
Edward Schrom	NYS Department of Public Service

Visitors – Open Session:

Stan Kopman	Northeast Power Coordinating Council (NPCC)
John Hosapple	Environmental Energy Alliance of NY

“*” – Denotes part time attendance at the meeting.

Agenda Items – (Item # from Meeting Agenda)

I. Executive Session – An Executive Session was not requested.

II. Open Session

- 1.0** Introduction – Mr. Ellsworth called the NYSRC Executive Committee (Committee) Meeting No. 78 to order at 9:30 A.M.
- 1.1** **Meeting Attendees** – Eleven Members and/or Alternate Members (or representatives) of the NYSRC Executive Committee were present at the meeting. The Large Consumer Sector was not represented at the meeting. Steve Wokanovicz representing NYPA was on the phone, but abstained from voting.
- 1.2** **Visitors** – See Attendee List, page 1.
- 1.3** **Requests for Additional Agenda Items** – None.
- 1.4** **Executive Session Topics** – An Executive Session was not requested.
- 2.0** **Meeting Minutes/Action Items List**
- 2.1** Approval of Minutes for Meeting No. 77 (September 9, 2005) – Mr. Raymond introduced the revised draft minutes. Additional corrections and clarifications were offered. Dr. Sasson moved for approval of the minutes as revised at the meeting. Mr. Smith seconded the motion which was approved by the Members/Alternates in attendance – (11-0-1). Mr. Raymond will revise the minutes and post them on the NYSRC web site - **AI #78-1**.
- 2.2** **Action Items List** – The Committee reviewed the Outstanding Action Items list and accepted the following items as complete:

<u>Action Item #</u>	<u>Comment</u>
74-9	RCMS has completed its review of the Data Book.
76-2	Mr. Gioia provided the amendments on September 6, 2005.
76-4	Mr. Gioia provided an assessment of the Energy Legislation on September 6, 2005.
76-7	No longer needed.
76-11	Mr. Adamson provided the cover letter on September 6, 2005.
77-1	Mr. Raymond finalized the minutes for the August 12, 2005 meeting on September 22, 2005.
77-3	Mr. Raymond distributed the structure of the NERC Stakeholder Group on implementation of the Reliability NOPR.
77-5	Mr. Calimano provide an updated Follow-up List on DOE Blackout Recommendations on October 9, 2005.
77-10	Messrs. Gioia and Haake sent the letter on the RGGI schedule to selected NYS Department Heads on September 27, 2005.

3.0 Organizational Issues

3.1 NYSRC Treasurer's Report

- i. **Summary of Receipts & Disbursements** - Mr. Adamson presented the Summary of Receipts and Disbursements for September 2005 which indicated \$84,000 in cash as of the end of September. He noted that projected year-end variance from budget is now \$9,600 below budget. Further, Mr. Adamson reminded the Committee that a Call-For-Funds for the 4th quarter was issued on September 10, 2005 for \$25,000 from each TO due on October 1, 2005. He reported that \$125,000 has been received to-date.
- ii. **Call-For-Funds** – See 3.1i.
- iii. **Proposed Budget for 2006** - Mr. Adamson presented and discussed the revised budget for 2006 indicating a total request of \$684,000 including a \$30,000 contingency. Dr. Sasson requested that the allocation for Legal Fees be reduced by \$20,000 to \$180,000 and the contingency be increased to \$50,000. With this revision, Mr. Loehr moved that the revised budget be accepted. Mr. Bolbrock seconded the motion which was approved by the Committee Members in attendance – (11-0-1).
- iv. **Funding Mechanism** – Mr. Raymond presented the Funding Mechanism that was used last years. Following discussion, Mr. Smith moved that the same mechanism be used in 2006. Mr. Claggett seconded the motion which was approved by the Committee Members in attendance – (11-0-1). Mr. Raymond will obtain the Retail Sector and NYPA votes- **AI #78-2**.
- v. **Policy 1-4 Revision** – Mr. Clayton reviewed the the changes to the Policy to include Implementation Plans within the structure of a Reliability Rule. Voting was tabled until the November 10, 2005 meeting in order to clarify Section B, pg 8 – **AI #78-3**.

3.2 Other Organizational Issues

- i. **Code of Conduct – Amendments Filing** – Mr. Gioia reported that he has delayed filing given all the other activities of the Committee - **AI-#77-2**.
- ii. **NYSRC Web Site Makeover** – Mr. Clayton described the progress that has been made in re-designing the NYSRC web site including a Google search engine. Following discussion, Mr. Clayton recommended proceeding with implementation at a cost of \$1250. Dr. Sasson moved that the recommendation be approved. The motion was seconded by Mr. Smith and approved by the Committee Members in attendance – (11-0-1)

4.0 Federal Energy Legislation –

4.1 **FERC ERO and Reliability Standards NOPR** – Mr. Gioia described the comments on the NOPR as filed by the NYSRC on October 7, 2005. Also, in a meeting with three FERC Staff members, Messrs. Ellsworth and Gioia described the history of the NYSRC, the NYSRC Agreements, and the NYSRC's position on the Energy Legislation. The Staff expressed interest in seeing some of the NYSRC's "more stringent" Rules. Mr. Gioia agreed to forward to them a copy of the document previously sent to Mr. McClelland – **AI #78-4**.

4.2 **NERC Regional Delegation Task Group** - Mr. Gioia noted that he is representing the NYSRC on the NERC Stakeholder Group addressing Delegation Agreements between the ERO and regional reliability entities. Contained in the NYSRC comments to the Group was a recommendation for FERC to include definitions of Regional Differences and Regional Standards, which are not in the NOPR. Those definitions should be similar to the definitions used by NERC in the Standards Development Process. The Stakeholder Group accepted the recommendation and also, included

recognition that there are potential Rules outside the ERO Process, e.g., those emanating from the “State Savings” clause.

4.3 Future Role of Regional Reliability Councils – See 4.2. Also, Mr. Kopman noted that the model for the future from the Delegation Task Force will include a strong ERO and Regional presence.

4.4 PSC Proposed Adoption of NYSRC Rules – Mr. Ellsworth indicated that John Reese (Advisor to Chairman), Dave Flanagan and Penny Rubin of the PSC Staff requested a meeting with himself and Mr. Gioia on October 5, 2005. The meeting was to inform Messrs. Ellsworth and Gioia of the PSC’s intent to issue a Proposed Rule Making as a result of the recent Federal Energy Legislation. Under the proposed rule, the PSC would adopt the NYSRC Reliability Rules and the Criteria of NPCC to assure that the Rules are not watered down in the Federal Energy Reliability Process. Messrs. Ellsworth and Gioia explained that based on the NYSRC’s Agreements and NYISO Tariff, it is the NYSRC’s view that it still has the right to develop and maintain the Rules for the NYCA regardless of the recent Legislation. Further, a PSC process to adopt all NYSRC Reliability Rules would likely result in an unnecessary duplication of effort, confusion with respect to NYSRC and PSC responsibilities, and a potential weakening of our position as having the continuing ability to promulgate binding Reliability Rules by virtue of the Agreements and Tariff. The Staff appears to be focusing on the “States Savings” clause which they feel requires them to act. The Staff indicated they would consider our comments carefully, including not acting at this time but, instead, making a clear statement of their right to do so or perhaps, issuing a general endorsement of the NYSRC’s Rules. The Executive Committee instructed Mr. Gioia to prepare a draft response to the PSC’s NOPR – **AI #78-5**. It will include the Committee’s position that adoption of NYSRC Rules by the PSC is not necessary because the NYSRC is not required to submit its Rules to a future ERO Rules Process.

5.0 Blackout Reporting

5.1 DOE Report – NYISO Follow-up List – NPCC TFSS-37 is preparing a report on under-frequency-load-shedding. It is expected to be completed in November 2005.

5.2 Verify NYISO Blackout Report Meets NYSRC Expectations – Mr. Loehr reported that RCMS has reviewed the revised report and found it to be in full compliance. Dr. Sasson seconded Mr. Loehr’s motion to accept the report. Following discussion, the motion was approved by the Committee Members in attendance – (11-0-1)

5.3 NYSRC Actions on Blackout Report Recommendations – Nothing new to report.

5.4 NPCC Blackout Report Recommendations – Mr. Kopman agreed to forward the latest NPCC tracking summary to Mr. Raymond – **AI #78-6**.

5.5 PSC Blackout Report – Nothing new to report.

6.0 Installed Capacity Subcommittee Status Report/Issues

6.1 ICS Status Report and Discussion Issues – Mr. Dahl noted that ICS met on October 5, 2005 and followed-up with a conference call on October 13, 2005. At the regular meeting, ICS reviewed the results of using the “old” load shift methodology with this year’s assumptions including the DMNC Derate reduced from 711mw to 125mw, the more detailed “outside world” (NE & PJM), and dynamic modeling of the interconnections with NE and PJM. Each of the 20 documented assumptions was tested individually. Mr. Dahl added that the load increase statewide was only 80mw; however, downstate (zones j,k) load increased by 305mw. The results for the Base Case testing were an LOLE of 25.5% (isolated) and 15.1% (unconstrained). Finally, Mr. Dahl indicated that ICS approved the test case and assumptions as a basis for the NYISO to begin running a new base case for 2006-07.

Next, Mr. Dahl reported that initial results for three points on the IRM Curve were available during the conference call. He emphasized that these results are preliminary and based on thermal transfer limits in SENY. He indicated also, that GE has studied the convergence characteristics of the MARS Model with the IRM database and found 2500-3000 iterations to be adequate.

- 6.2 IRM Study Assumptions - UPNY/SENY Interface Evaluation** - Mr. Dahl reported that Con Ed, LIPA, and NYISO met on September 13, 2005 to discuss resolution of the differences in Con Ed and NYISO results for the interface limits. ICS needs the input by the end of October 2005 to hold the IRM Study schedule. Mr. Adams agreed to inform the NYSRC of the results of further discussion scheduled for October 17, 2005 – **AI #78-7**.
- 6.3 Calimano Letter Regarding the SRIS Process** – Nothing new to report.
- 6.4 Super Zone Study** - Mr. Dahl indicated that the NYSEG/RG&E Super Zone Study scope would be available for the November 10, 2005 Executive Committee meeting. Dr. Sasson expressed his views that the NYSRC should be open to conduct investigations that might lead to a greater understanding of the interactions between all the factors affecting the determination of the IRM. He expressed support for conducting the proposed super zone study provided it is not done as a regular IRM sensitivity case but as a stand alone investigation without impacting other time critical IRM activities. Mr. Jeremko agreed that the Study would be treated as a stand-alone deliverable.
- 6.5 Other ICS Issues**
- i. PSC Renewables Proceeding** – Nothing new to report.
 - ii. NYISO/NE Mutual Emergency Assistance Study** – Nothing new to report.
 - iii. National Grid Complaint Filing with FERC** – Mr. Ellsworth opened the discussion by indicating that the actions taken by Nat'l Grid and being supported by NYSEG & RG&E and any other Committee Member electing to support Nat'l Grid's filing, are part of the overall process and should not detract from the need and/or desire for a close working relationship within the NYSRC. From an administrative standpoint, he noted that LeBoeuf represents not only NYSEG/RGE, which is supporting the complaint, but the NYSRC as well. Mr. Franey indicated that LeBoeuf is representing Nat'l Grid on issues in NE. Nat'l Grid lawyers are looking into the matter of conflict of interests. Mr. Gioia indicated that there would be an appropriate "firewall" between himself and other LeBoeuf attorneys working on the complaint for opposing parties. Following further discussion, Mr. Loehr moved that Mr. Gioia be approved to represent the NYSRC in this matter. The motion was seconded by Mr. Bolbrock and approved by the Executive Committee Members in attendance – (10-0-2). Secondly, Mr. Ellsworth suggested that the costs of defending the NYSRC should be borne by all NYSRC Members. Mr. Franey expressed the view that charging those bringing or supporting the complaint would be unusual. Therefore, the Chairman requested a written opinion from Nat'l Grid before the November 10, 2005 Committee meeting – **AI #78-8**. Messrs. Franey and Yeomans expressed the view that the NYSRC response to the Nat'l Grid complaint should be subject to a Executive Committee vote. Mr. Gioia differed on technical grounds, indicating that the Operating Agreement provides that the Chairman may take action to implement prior decisions made by the Executive Committee. In this instance, the NYSRC would be responding to a complaint against past Executive Committee decisions, which response could be approved by the Chairman under the provisions of the Operating Agreement.
 - iv. NERC Resource Adequacy Drafting Team** – Mr. Dahl reported that the Team had a kickoff conference call on October 11, 2005 to discuss the comments on the Resource Adequacy SAR. The Team will meet formally in November 2005. The development of the Standard is expected to take

12-16 months to complete.

7.0 Reliability Rules Subcommittee Status Report/Issues

7.1 RRS Status Report & Discussion Issues – Mr. Clayton reported that the Subcommittee met on October 6, 2005.

7.2 Status of New/Revised Reliability Rules

i. Proposed NYSRC Reliability Rules Revision

a. List of Potential Reliability Rules (PRR) Changes – Mr. Clayton referred to the table, List of Potential Reliability Rule Changes – Outstanding. He reported that PRR#73, Verification Testing of Resource Capacity, and PRR#78, Operation During Major Emergencies were approved for posting on August 12, 2005 with comments due by October 2, 2005. There were no comments received. Mr. Smith moved for approval of PRR#73. The motion was seconded by Mr. Fleury and approved by the Committee Members in attendance – (11-0-1). Similarly, Mr. Bolbrock moved and Mr. Fleury seconded a motion to approve PRR#78 which was approved by the Committee Members in attendance – (11-0-1). Further, Mr. Clayton indicated that PRR#74, System Data Requirements, has been posted with comments due by November 7, 2005 and PRR#76, Emergency Restoration Procedures, was remanded back to RRS based on suggested revisions from Dr. Sasson. The changes have been made and the PRR was sent to RCMS for its comments by October 27, 2005. Also, Mr. Clayton indicated that RRS is entertaining a new PRR#79, Applications of the NYSRC Reliability Rules, to formalize the responsibility of the NYISO in keeping together, posting and approving changes to existing or new applications. Dr. Sasson discussed a change Con Edison is proposing to the application of the Minimum Oil Burn rule for New York City and indicated it had submitted the required supporting studies to the NYISO. Mr. Haake stated that the NYSRC could not act on such matters since they must be approved through the NYISO stakeholder process and not only by NYISO staff. Mr. Gioia agreed Mr. Haake’s interpretation of the required process. Dr. Sasson then indicated that if the approval was not granted through the NYISO stakeholder process, he had no alternative but to come back to the next Committee meeting and propose a new Reliability Rule for expedited consideration since action was needed to operate reliably this winter, starting on November 1.

b. Status of New/Modified Reliability Rules

1. PRRs for Final EC Approval – See 7.2i.a.

2. PRRs for EC Approval to Post for Comments – See 7.2i.a.

3. PRRs for EC Discussion – See 7.2i.a.

7.3 NPCC/NERC Standard Tracking -

i. NERC Standards Development – Status – Mr. Adamson indicated that RRS recommends a “yes” Vote on TOP, Coordinate Operations without comment based on Mr. Fleury’s review and the recommendation of NPCC. Mr. Bolbrock moved that the NYSRC support the Standard. Mr. Smith seconded the motion which was approved by the Members in attendance – (11-0-1). Then, Mr. Adamson introduced a group of six standards, FAC 008-1 through 013-1: (Determining Facility Ratings, Operating Limits, and Transfer Capabilities). He noted that NERC had trifurcated the voting protocol after voting had begun for fear that a package vote for all six standards would fail possibility due to the NYSRC letter to the Ballot Body, NERC Regional Managers and Executive Committee members recommending a “no” vote. In addition, NPCC-CP-9 recommended a “no” vote on each

block because of interrelationships between the individual Standards. NPCC recommended to NERC that the NERC-SAC prepare a revision to the Process Manual that would prevent the altering of the voting protocol after the voting period had begun. Mr. Kopman confirmed that the NPCC will be voting “no” on each block. After further discussion, Mr. Clagett moved that the NYSRC vote “no” on each of the three blocks with comments. Mr. Bolbrock seconded the motion, which was then approved by the Members in attendance – (11-0-1). Mr. Bush raised concern regarding the NERC action, particularly since they are being considered as a potential ERO. Mr. Gentile suggested that since Mr. Sergel has just become the new President of NERC, a meeting with him is needed, and it would provide an opportunity to express NYSRC concerns – **AI #78-9**. The Executive Committee concurred with Mr. Gentile’s recommendation.

ii. E-mail to Ballot Pool on Category C Contingencies – See 7.3i.

iii. NYCA Impact From New A-10 Document (Definition of Bulk Power System) – Mr. Kopman indicated that in early September 2005 the NPCC-RCC endorsed the Implementation Plan for field testing of the new A-10 definition of Bulk Power System. A status report will be offered at the next NPCC-RCC meeting. Completion is expected in March 2006. Formal approval of the A-10 document will follow.

7.4 Other RRS Issues – See 3.1v.

8.0 Reliability Compliance Monitoring Subcommittee Status Report/Issues

8.1 RCMS Status Report and Discussion Issues – Mr. Loehr noted that the RCMS met on October 13, 2005. He reported that NERC has been working with FERC to tighten its (NERCs) Compliance Program.

Also, NERC has hired a consultant to characterize and prioritize the NERC Reliability Rules. NPCC divided their Rules into Standards, Guidelines, and Procedures many years ago.

8.2 Status of NYSRC 2005 Reliability Compliance Program (NYRCP) – Mr. Loehr reported that RCMS is in the midst of reviewed C-M4, Procedures for Reporting Resource Availability Data.

8.3 Modified Resource Adequacy Assessment for 2005-08 – Status - Mr. Loehr reminded the Committee that Mr. Bolbrock suggested, going forward, the latest data should be used in preparing the annual Resource Adequacy Assessments versus accepting the Gold Book as is. The Committee concluded that for this year’s Report, the RCMS cover memo should acknowledge any changes since the Assessment began. In the future, RCMS recommends that at the beginning of the annual Study, the Gold Book should be reviewed carefully with the TOs and Executive Committee. Mr. Loehr agreed to modify the cover letter and circulate it for comment – **AI #78-10**.

8.4 Policy 4 Revision – Mr. Loehr indicated that RCMS will be taking-up the Policy 4 revision at its November 2005 meeting.

8.5 Other RCMS Issues – Dr. Sasson noted that the NYISO Operating Committee approved extension of the Black Start requirements into New York City. He asked that Mr. Calimano’s monthly report to the Committee include progress at the NYISO in developing procedures to implement this decision – **AI#78-**

11.

9.0 Key Reliability Issues

9.1 Defensive Strategies – NYISO Meeting on Sept. 8, 2005 – Mr. Clagett reported that a second draft of the “terms of reference” is being circulated within the working group and will be ready for the November 10, 2005 Executive Committee meeting. Messrs. Clagett, Gentile, Loehr, and Sasson will be meeting with the NYISO on November 11, 2005.

9.2 Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative –

- i. Letter to RGGI Team on Scheduling Response to NYSRC Issues – Status** – Mr. Gioia indicated that he attended the New York Stakeholders meeting on September 12, 2005. Mr. Gioia introduced the NYSRC reliability concerns which were of interest to many other of the attendees. After the meeting, Karl Michael (DEC Project Manager) acknowledged to Mr. Gioia, the importance of the reliability issues and his need for assistance in carrying out the reliability assessment. The NYISO and PSC will be working with them. Also, it was indicated at the meeting that the state regulations adopting the “model Rule” will not be adopted by the State until after the completion of Phase 2 work.. After the meeting, Messrs. Gioia and Haake collaborated on a letter to the appropriate NYS Department Heads reiterating the NYSRC’s concerns. After the September 2005 NPCC Executive Committee meeting, at the Executive Committee request Mr. Bolbrock reiterated to Mr. Schwerdt the importance of NPCC being aware of the RGGI activities. As a result, RGGI is on the NPCC Executive Committee agenda for its October 23, 2005 meeting. Mr. Kopman noted Mr. Fedora has been assigned to coordinate with the affected Areas.
- ii. NYSDEC Response to NYSRC RGGI Questions** – Mr. Dahl indicated the responses are under review by ICS and will be reported on at the November 10, 2005.
- iii. Next Steps** – Ms. Hogan indicated that the Agency Committee has requested additional modeling to including gas prices at \$11.00 per MBTU and 50% of the RPS penetration. There will be an October 28, 2005 Agency Head conference call to discuss whether the Governors are prepared to sign off. Also, Ms. Hogan will report back to the NYSRC Executive Committee on the status of RGGI Phase 2 – **AI #78-12**.

10.0 NYISO Status Report/Issues –

10.1 NYISO Reliability Criteria Study – Status – Nothing new to report.

10.2 NYISO Planning Process – Mr. Adams indicated that the NYISO is into the 2nd draft of the “needs assessment” which includes voltage issues in the lower Hudson Valley. The results indicate about 1000mw less capacity is needed in the year 2010 if the thermal limits can be sustained. The draft recommends that the TOs in the lower Hudson Valley develop a Reactive Compensation Plan that demonstrates the viability of using thermal limits. The draft will be reviewed by the ESWP and NPCC-TPAS on October 18, and 19, 2005, respectively. The NYISO believes the Series Reactor issue is part of a larger problem. Mr. Adams reminded the Committee the load had increased in SENY by 5000mw over the last ten years, but only 1200mw of capacity has been added.

10.3 Studies – Status

i. Reactive Resource Adequacy – Nothing new to report.

ii. Electric Deliverability Study – Mr. Adams indicated that the IITF will be reviewing the progress to-date on October 18, 2005. The plan is to complete the report by the end of November 2005 and file the report as part of the FERC status report on December 1, 2005.

iii. NYSERDA/NYISO Gas Study – NYISO has met with the PSC and SENY infrastructure owners. The parties have hired consultants to investigate the impact from the recent Gulf Coast storms and to consider actions that may mitigate any potential problems. Further, the Gas Coordination Agreement has brought the NYISO, NE-ISO and PJM together regarding this issue. They have also hired a consultant to investigate the issue.

iv. NYSERDA/NYISO Wind Study – Nothing new to report.

10.4 Other Studies/Activities –

i. FERC Reactive Power Study – Nothing new to report.

- ii. **NYCA Reactive Power Study** - Nothing new to report.
- iii. **NYSERDA Oil Infrastructure Study** – Nothing new to report.
- iv. **FERC Interconnection Rulemaking** - The draft will be reviewed at the next NPCC-TPAS meeting. NYISO is accepting the “low voltage ride through” proposal. Further, FERC is being asked to accept the individual TO requirements. If not, at least a .9 to .95 power factor requirement is being sought.

11.0 Other Items

- 11.1 **Millennium Gas Pipeline** – Nothing new to report.
- 11.2 **NYISO Operating Report** – Mr. Raymond reported that the frequency excursions in August 2005 were continuing the six months of abnormally high numbers of Alert States. No major emergencies occurred during the month, but EOPs were put on alert. Six of the older, large units tripped-off during the month at near full load raising “wear and tear” concerns. The NERC Resources Subcommittee is investigating appropriate frequency thresholds and operator actions on a national basis.
- 11.3 **North American Energy Standards Board (NAESB) Report** – Nothing new to report.
- 11.4 **Summit Conference** – Held over to the November 10, 2005 Committee meeting.
- 11.5 **NPCC Report** –
 - i. **Eastern Interconnection Frequency Excursions** – See 11.2.

12.0 Visitors’ Comments – None

13.0 Meeting Schedule

<u>Mtg. No.</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Time</u>
#79	November 10, 2005	Albany Country Club, Voorheesville, NY.	9:30 A.M.
#80	December 9, 2005	Albany Country Club, Voorheesville, NY.	9:30 A.M.

The open session of Committee Meeting No. 78 was adjourned at 3:45 P.M.